

103D CONGRESS  
1ST SESSION

# H. R. 1392

To rescind unauthorized appropriations for fiscal year 1993.

---

## IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

MARCH 17, 1993

Mr. FAWELL (for himself, Mr. PENNY, Mr. SHAYS, Mr. HANCOCK, Mr. WALKER, Mr. STENHOLM, Mr. INHOFE, Mr. SMITH of Texas, Mr. PETRI, Mr. BALLENGER, Mr. ALLARD, Mr. BURTON of Indiana, Mr. DORNAN, Mr. BOEHNER, Mr. MANZULLO, Mr. ORTON, Mr. SUNDQUIST, Mr. KLUG, Mr. SOLOMON, Mr. STUMP, and Mr. KNOLLENBERG) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Appropriations

---

## A BILL

To rescind unauthorized appropriations for fiscal year 1993.

1       *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*  
2       *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

### 3   **SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE AND TABLE OF CONTENTS.**

4       (a) SHORT TITLE.—This Act may be cited as the  
5       “Spending Priority Reform Act of 1993”.

6       (b) TABLE OF CONTENTS.—

Sec. 1. Short title and table of contents.

Sec. 2. Use of money for deficit reduction.

#### TITLE I—AGRICULTURE APPROPRIATIONS

Sec. 101. Cooperative State Research Service—special research grants.

Sec. 102. Cooperative State Research Service—buildings and facilities.

TITLE II—COMMERCE, JUSTICE, AND STATE, THE JUDICIARY,  
AND RELATED AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS

Subtitle A—Department of Commerce

- Sec. 201. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.
- Sec. 202. International Trade Administration.

Subtitle B—Related Agencies

- Sec. 211. Small Business Administration.

Subtitle C—Department of State

- Sec. 221. Educational and cultural exchange programs.
- Sec. 222. North/South Center.

TITLE III—DEFENSE APPROPRIATIONS

Subtitle A—Research, Development, Test and Evaluation

- Sec. 301. Defense agencies—university grants.
- Sec. 302. Defense agencies—electric vehicle technology.
- Sec. 303. Army.
- Sec. 304. Medical research.
- Sec. 305. Navy.
- Sec. 306. Air Force.

Subtitle B—Other Department of Defense Programs

- Sec. 311. Defense health programs.

Subtitle C—Operations and Maintenance

- Sec. 321. Self-powered locks.
- Sec. 322. Athletic competitions.
- Sec. 323. Army.
- Sec. 324. Defense agencies.
- Sec. 325. Hawaii volcano observatory.

TITLE IV—ENERGY AND WATER APPROPRIATIONS

Subtitle A—Corps of Engineers

- Sec. 401. General investigations.
- Sec. 402. Construction, general.

Subtitle B—Department of Energy

- Sec. 411. General investigations.

TITLE V—INTERIOR APPROPRIATIONS

- Sec. 501. National Park Service.
- Sec. 502. United States Fish and Wildlife Service.
- Sec. 503. National Forest Service.

TITLE VI—TRANSPORTATION APPROPRIATIONS

- Sec. 601. Airway science grants.

- Sec. 602. Highway research, development, and technology.
- Sec. 603. Federal-aid highways.
- Sec. 604. Federal highway demonstration projects.
- Sec. 605. Transit planning and research.

#### TITLE VII—TREASURY, POSTAL SERVICE, AND GENERAL GOVERNMENT APPROPRIATIONS

- Sec. 701. General Services Administration.
- Sec. 702. Office of Personnel Management.

#### TITLE VIII—VETERANS AFFAIRS, HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT, AND INDEPENDENT AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS

##### Subtitle A—Department of Veterans Affairs

- Sec. 801. Construction grants.

##### Subtitle B—Independent Agencies

- Sec. 811. EPA—research and development.
- Sec. 812. EPA—abatement, control, and compliance.
- Sec. 813. EPA—buildings and facilities.
- Sec. 814. Office of Science and Technology Policy.
- Sec. 815. NASA—research and development.
- Sec. 816. NASA—construction of facilities.

##### Subtitle C—Department of Housing and Urban Development

- Sec. 821. Special purpose grants.

#### TITLE IX—BLUE SMOKE AND MIRRORS

- Sec. 901. Sense of the Congress.

### 1   **SEC. 2. USE OF MONEY FOR DEFICIT REDUCTION.**

2       It is the sense of the Congress that any money re-

3   turned to the Treasury as a result of this Act should be

4   deposited in the General Fund of the Treasury to be ap-

5   plied against the Federal budget deficit.

## 6       **TITLE I—AGRICULTURE**

## 7       **APPROPRIATIONS**

### 8   **SEC. 101. COOPERATIVE STATE RESEARCH SERVICE—SPE-**

### 9       **CIAL RESEARCH GRANTS.**

10       (a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that—

1 (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c) are set  
2 aside for special research grants provided by the  
3 Secretary of Agriculture under section 2(c) of the  
4 Act of August 4, 1965 (7 U.S.C. 450i(c)); and

5 (2) the amounts were—

6 (A) not authorized;

7 (B) not awarded on a competitive basis;

8 and

9 (C) not the subject of congressional com-  
10 mittee or subcommittee authorization hearings.

11 (b) RESCISSION.—There are rescinded—

12 (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c), which  
13 were set aside or otherwise made available out of ap-  
14 propriations made by the Agriculture, Rural Devel-  
15 opment, Food and Drug Administration, and Relat-  
16 ed Agencies Appropriations Act, 1993 (Pub. L. 102-  
17 341; 106 Stat. 878–879); and

18 (2) the underlying appropriations for the  
19 amounts.

20 (c) AMOUNTS.—Except to the extent obligated, the  
21 amounts rescinded by subsection (b) are the following  
22 amounts provided for special research grants (Conference  
23 Report to accompany H.R. 5487, House Report 102–815,  
24 pages 10–12):

<b>Program, project, or activity:</b>	<b>Amount of rescission:</b>
Aflatoxin (IL) .....	\$134,000
Agribusiness management (MS) .....	\$75,000
Agricultural diversification (HI) .....	\$154,000
Agricultural management systems (MA) .....	\$261,000
Agricultural processing (GA) .....	\$50,000
Agricultural trade (ND) .....	\$350,000
Alfalfa (KS) .....	\$125,000
Alternative cropping systems (Southeast) .....	\$278,000
Alternative crops (ND) .....	\$700,000
Alternative marine and fresh water species (MS) .....	\$275,000
Alternative pest control (AR) .....	\$1,400,000
Alternative to dinoseb (OR) .....	\$225,000
Animal waste disposal (MI) .....	\$120,000
Apple quality research (MI) .....	\$94,000
Aquaculture (general) .....	\$316,000
Aquaculture (MS) .....	\$700,000
Aquaculture research (IL) .....	\$200,000
Aquaculture (LA) .....	\$390,000
Asparagus yield decline (MI) .....	\$94,000
Babcock Institute (WI) .....	\$75,000
Bean and beet (MI) .....	\$189,000
Beef carcass evaluation and identification (IA, NY, GA, TX, IL) .....	\$210,000
Beef fat content (IA) .....	\$237,000
Biodiesel research (MO) .....	\$50,000
Broom snakeweed (NM) .....	\$200,000
Canola (KS) .....	\$100,000
Celery fusarium (MI) .....	\$39,000
Center for animal health and productivity (PA) .....	\$134,000
Center for rural studies (VT) .....	\$37,000
Chesapeake Bay aquaculture (MD) .....	\$437,000
Competitiveness of agricultural products (WA) .....	\$800,000
CONSOIL (WI) .....	\$725,000
Controlled environment production systems (PA) .....	\$240,000
Cool season legume research (ID, WA) .....	\$387,000
Cottonseed extraction and oil refining (TX) .....	\$75,000
Cranberry/blueberry disease and breeding (NJ) .....	\$260,000
Dairy goat research (TX) .....	\$75,000
Delta rural revitalization (MS) .....	\$175,000
Dogwood anthracnose (GA, NC, TN) .....	\$137,000
Dried bean (ND) .....	\$100,000
Eastern filbert blight (OR) .....	\$85,000
Environmental research (NY) .....	\$575,000
Expanded wheat pasture (OK) .....	\$337,000
Export development (KY) .....	\$227,000
Farm computer technology (GA) .....	\$100,000
Farm and rural business finance (IL, AK) .....	\$125,000
Fish marketing (OR, RI) .....	\$340,000
Floriculture (HI) .....	\$296,000
Food and Agriculture Policy Institute (IA, MO) .....	\$750,000
Food irradiation (IA) .....	\$237,000
Food marketing policy center (CT) .....	\$393,000
Food processing center (NE) .....	\$50,000
Food safety consortium (AR, KS, IA) .....	\$1,942,000
Food systems research group (WI) .....	\$261,000
Forestry marketing (VT, NH) .....	\$50,000
Global change .....	\$2,000,000
Grasshopper biocontrol (ND) .....	\$75,000
Great Plains agricultural policy center (KS, OK) .....	\$100,000
Human nutrition research (NY) .....	\$735,000
Human nutrition research (IA) .....	\$500,000
Human nutrition (LA) .....	\$800,000
Improved dairy management practices (PA) .....	\$335,000
Integrated pest management .....	\$4,457,000
Integrated production systems (OK) .....	\$190,000

<b>Program, project, or activity:</b>	<b>Amount of rescission:</b>
Iowa biotechnology consortium (IA) .....	\$2,000,000
Livestock and dairy policy (NY, TX) .....	\$525,000
Lowbush blueberry research (ME) .....	\$185,000
Low-input agriculture (MN) .....	\$230,000
Maple research (VT) .....	\$99,000
Michigan Institute .....	\$2,358,000
Midwest agricultural products .....	\$700,000
Midwest plant biotechnology consortium .....	\$2,865,000
Milk safety (PA) .....	\$184,000
Minor crop pest control (HI) .....	\$285,000
Minor use animal drugs (IR-4) .....	\$464,000
Multi-commodity research (OR) .....	\$300,000
Multi-cropping strategies for aquaculture .....	\$150,000
National biological impact assessment .....	\$300,000
Nematode resistance genetic engineering (NM) .....	\$150,000
New uses for agricultural products (OH) .....	\$140,000
Non-food agricultural products (NE) .....	\$110,000
Oil from jojoba (NM) .....	\$200,000
Oregon—Mass. biotechnology (MA) .....	\$256,000
Peach tree short life (SC) .....	\$192,000
Perishable commodities (GA) .....	\$250,000
Pest control alternatives (SC) .....	\$125,000
Pesticide clearance (IR-4) .....	\$3,500,000
Pesticide impact assessment .....	\$2,968,000
Pesticide research (WA) .....	\$667,000
Phytophthora root rot (NM) .....	\$150,000
Potato research .....	\$1,435,000
Potato utilization (ND) .....	\$250,000
Poultry (GA) .....	\$516,000
Preservation and processing research (OK) .....	\$267,000
Procerum root disease (VA) .....	\$25,000
Product development and marketing center (ME) .....	\$221,000
Red River Corridor (MN, ND) .....	\$200,000
Regional barley gene mapping project .....	\$412,000
Regionalized implications of farm programs (MO, TX) .....	\$348,000
Rural development centers (PA, IA, ND, MS, OR) .....	\$500,000
Rural environmental research (IL) .....	\$125,000
Rural housing needs (NE) .....	\$80,000
Rural policies institute (AR, NE, MO) .....	\$692,000
Russian wheat aphid (WA, OR, CA) .....	\$437,000
Safflower research (ND, MT) .....	\$250,000
Seafood and aquaculture harvesting, processing, and marketing (MS) .....	\$361,000
Seafood research (OR) .....	\$327,000
Small fruit research (OR, WA, ID) .....	\$187,000
Soil and water research (OH) .....	\$240,000
Southwest consortium for plant genetics and water resources .....	\$400,000
Soybean bioprocessing (IA) .....	\$328,000
Soybean cyst nematode (MO) .....	\$359,000
STEEP II—water quality in Northwest (ID, WA, OR) .....	\$980,000
Stone fruit decline (MI) .....	\$283,000
Subirrigation research (MI) .....	\$531,000
Sunflower insects (ND, SD) .....	\$200,000
Sustainable agriculture and natural resources (PA) .....	\$100,000
Sustainable agriculture systems (NE) .....	\$70,000
Swine research (MN) .....	\$140,000
TCK smut (wheat) .....	\$250,000
Tropical and subtropical .....	\$3,320,000
Urban pests (GA) .....	\$76,000
Water conservation (KS) .....	\$94,000
Water conservation (NV) .....	\$200,000
Water management (AL) .....	\$398,000
Water quality .....	\$8,950,000
Weed control (ND) .....	\$500,000
Wheat genetic research (KS) .....	\$159,000

<b>Program, project, or activity:</b>	<b>Amount of rescission:</b>
White mold research (OH) .....	\$55,000
Wild rice research (MN) .....	\$88,000
Wood utilization research (OR, MS, MI) .....	\$4,153,000
Wool research (TX) .....	\$250,000
World food systems (IN, OH) .....	\$368,000

1 **SEC. 102. COOPERATIVE STATE RESEARCH SERVICE—**

2 **BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES.**

3 (a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that—

4 (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c) are set  
5 aside for the construction of buildings and facilities  
6 of the Cooperative State Research Service; and

7 (2) the amounts were—

8 (A) not authorized;

9 (B) not awarded on a competitive basis;

10 and

11 (C) not the subject of congressional com-  
12 mittee or subcommittee authorization hearings.

13 (b) RESCISSION.—There are rescinded—

14 (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c), which  
15 were set aside or otherwise made available out of ap-  
16 propriations made by the Agriculture, Rural Devel-  
17 opment, Food and Drug Administration, and Relat-  
18 ed Agencies Appropriations Act, 1993 (Pub. L. 102–  
19 341; 106 Stat. 879); and

20 (2) the underlying appropriations for the  
21 amounts.

(c) AMOUNTS.—Except to the extent obligated, the amounts rescinded by subsection (b) are the following amounts provided for buildings and facilities (Conference Report to accompany H.R. 5487, House Report 102–815, pages 15–16):

<b>Program, project, or activity:</b>	<b>Amount of rescission:</b>
Poultry Center of Excellence (AR) .....	\$3,189,000
Agriculture Research Complex-Environmental Stress Lab (AZ) .....	\$1,100,000
Alternative pest control containment and quarantine, University of California .....	\$178,000
Grape Importation Facility, University of California at Davis .....	\$582,000
Biotechnology Institute, University of Florida-Alachua .....	\$276,000
Center for Advanced Water Technology, Savannah, GA .....	\$376,000
National Laboratory for Environmentally Sound Production Agriculture, Tifton, GA .....	\$1,293,000
Vidalia Onion Storage Res. Facility (GA) .....	\$194,000
Center for Tropical/Subtropical Agriculture (HI) .....	\$3,311,000
Biotechnology Facility (ID) .....	\$431,000
Biotechnology Center, Northwestern University (IL) .....	\$517,000
Molecular and Cellular Biotechnology Facility (IN) .....	\$2,155,000
Throckmorton Plant Science Center, Kansas State University (KS) .....	\$1,353,000
Presque Isle Farm building construction (ME) .....	\$776,000
Institute for Natural Resources and Environmental Science, University of Maryland (MD) .....	\$862,000
Center for hunger, poverty, nutrition and policy (MS) .....	\$484,000
Food Toxicology Center, Michigan State University .....	\$4,616,000
Biological Technology Center for Water and Wetlands Resources (MS) .....	\$86,000
Bioscience Research Laboratory, Montana State University .....	\$915,000
Biochemistry and Biology, University of Nevada .....	\$215,000
Plant Bioscience Facility, Rutgers University (NJ) .....	\$2,623,000
Cornell Research Greenhouse (NY) .....	\$376,000
New York Botanical Garden .....	\$3,697,000
Bowman-Gray Center at Wake Forest (NC) .....	\$3,684,000
Food Processing Pilot Plant—NCI (ND) .....	\$375,000
Inst/Ag Health Science and Rural Medicine, Univ. of North Dakota (ND) ..	\$1,864,000
Inst/Ag and Rural Human Research Development, Minot State University (ND) .....	\$1,939,000
Seed Research and Regulatory Facility, North Dakota State University (ND) .....	\$431,000
Plant Science Research Facility, University of Toledo (OH) .....	\$237,000
Seafood Center, Oregon State University (OR) .....	\$1,824,000
Center for Food Marketing, St. Joseph's University (PA) .....	\$2,336,000
Building consolidation, University of Rhode Island (RI) .....	\$431,000
Northern Plains Biostress Laboratory, South Dakota State University (SD) .....	\$875,000
Agricultural, Biological and Environmental Research Complex, University of Tennessee in Knoxville (TN) .....	\$797,000
Nursery Crop Research Station (TN) .....	\$367,000
Inst/Biosciences and Technology, Texas A & M (TX) .....	\$603,000
Biotechnology Laboratory, Utah State University (UT) .....	\$658,000
Agriculture Biotechnology Facility, Virginia Polytechnic Institute (VA) .....	\$880,000
Animal Disease Biotechnology Facility, Washington State University (WA) ..	\$2,258,000
Agriculture Biotechnology/Genetics Facility, University of Wisconsin/Madison (WI) .....	\$2,161,000
College of Natural Resources, University of Wisconsin-Stevens Point (WI) ..	\$86,000
Environmental Simulation Facility, University of Wyoming (WY) .....	\$431,000



1 **TITLE II—COMMERCE, JUSTICE,**  
2 **AND STATE, THE JUDICIARY,**  
3 **AND RELATED AGENCIES AP-**  
4 **PROPRIATIONS**

5 **Subtitle A—Department of**  
6 **Commerce**

7 **SEC. 201. NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMIN-**  
8 **ISTRATION.**

9 (a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that—

10 (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c) are set  
11 aside for construction, the National Ocean Service,  
12 the National Marine Fisheries Service, oceanic and  
13 atmospheric research, National Weather Service,  
14 National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration  
15 construction, and miscellaneous projects; and

16 (2) the amounts were—

17 (A) not authorized;

18 (B) not awarded on a competitive basis;

19 (C) not the subject of congressional com-  
20 mittee or subcommittee authorization hearings;  
21 and

22 (D) first added by the Committee of Con-  
23 ference, where so designated.

24 (b) RESCISSION.—There are rescinded—

(1) the amounts listed in subsection (c), which were set aside or otherwise made available out of appropriations made by the Departments of Commerce, Justice, and State, the Judiciary, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 1993 (Pub. L. 102–395; 106 Stat. 1849–1850); and

(2) the underlying appropriations for the amounts.

(c) AMOUNTS.—Except to the extent obligated, the amounts rescinded by subsection (b) are as follows (Conference Report to accompany H.R. 5678, House Report 102–918, pages 50–66):

(1) NATIONAL OCEAN SERVICE.—

<b>Program, project, or activity:</b>	<b>Amount of rescission:</b>
Great Lakes Mapping Projects .....	\$465,000
South Carolina Cooperative Geodetic Survey .....	\$554,000
Land Information Systems .....	\$1,674,000
Observation buoys (CA) (conference) .....	\$140,000
Chesapeake Bay Observation buoys (conference) .....	\$400,000
Institute for Marine Engineers (conference) .....	\$500,000
South Carolina Wetland Demonstration Project .....	\$1,800,000
CZM program administration .....	\$3,597,000
Charleston Special Area Management plan .....	\$960,000
Hawaii Humpback Marine Sanctuary Institute .....	\$144,000

(2) NATIONAL MARINE FISHERIES SERVICE.—

<b>Program, project, or activity:</b>	<b>Amount of rescission:</b>
Stuttgart Aquaculture (AR) .....	\$576,000
Winter Run Chinook Salmon (conference) .....	\$500,000
Center for Shark Research .....	\$140,000
Hawaii Stock Management .....	\$500,000
Fish Cooperative Institute .....	\$384,000
Hawaiian Monk Seals .....	\$520,000
Stellar Sea Lion Recovery Plan .....	\$1,440,000
Hawaiian Sea Turtles .....	\$240,000
Atlantic Blue Fin Tuna Project .....	\$300,000
Pacific pelagic species management .....	\$1,606,000
Beluga Whale Committee .....	\$192,000

<b>Program, project, or activity:</b>	<b>Amount of rescission:</b>
Dolphin Safe Technologies .....	\$500,000
East Coast Observers .....	\$700,000
Export Strategies/Mahi Mahi .....	\$768,000
Andromous Fishery Project .....	\$186,000
Fish Oils .....	\$900,000

1                   (3) OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC RESEARCH.—

<b>Program, project, or activity:</b>	<b>Amount of rescission:</b>
VENTS .....	\$2,496,000
S.E. U.S. FOCI Program .....	\$1,000,000
Upper New England Marine Research .....	\$1,900,000
NOAA Undersea Research Program .....	\$15,998,000

2                   (4) NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE.—

<b>Program, project, or activity:</b>	<b>Amount of rescission:</b>
Agriculture and fruit frost .....	\$2,316,000
Fire Weather Services .....	\$449,000

3                   (5) NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC AD-  
4                   MINISTRATION CONSTRUCTION.—

<b>Program, project, or activity:</b>	<b>Amount of rescission:</b>
Boston Biotechnology Innovation Center (conference) .....	\$1,000,000
Woods Hole Marine Biology Center .....	\$1,000,000
U. of New Hampshire Biology Center .....	\$15,000,000
Mystic, CT Maritime Education and Research Center (conference) .....	\$1,000,000
NMFS Charleston Fisheries Laboratory .....	\$700,000
Beaufort, NC Laboratory .....	\$182,000
Juneau/Kodiak Laboratory A&E .....	\$100,000

5   **SEC. 202. INTERNATIONAL TRADE ADMINISTRATION.**

6                   (a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that—

7                   (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c) are set  
8                   aside for special research grants provided for the  
9                   International Trade Administration;

10                  (2) the amounts were—

1 (A) not authorized;

2 (B) not awarded on a competitive basis;

3 and

4 (C) not the subject of congressional com-  
5 mittee or subcommittee authorization hearings.

6 (b) RESCISSION.—There are rescinded—

7 (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c), which  
8 were set aside or otherwise made available out of ap-  
9 propriations made by the Departments of Com-  
10 merce, Justice, and State, the Judiciary, and Relat-  
11 ed Agencies Appropriation Act, 1993 (Pub. L. 102–  
12 395; 106 Stat. 1851); and

13 (2) the underlying appropriations for the  
14 amounts.

15 (c) AMOUNTS.—Except to the extent obligated, the  
16 amounts rescinded by subsection (b) are the following  
17 (Conference Report to accompany H.R. 5678, House Re-  
18 port 102–918, page 67):

Program, project, or activity:	Amount of rescission:
Tailored Clothing Technology Corporation (TC 2) .....	\$3,149,000
National Textile Center .....	\$7,448,000
New Materials Center .....	\$2,850,000

## 19 **Subtitle B—Related Agencies**

### 20 **SEC. 211. SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION.**

21 (a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that—

1 (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c) are set  
2 aside for salaries and expenses; and

3 (2) the amounts were—

4 (A) not authorized;

5 (B) not awarded on a competitive basis;

6 (C) not the subject of congressional com-  
7 mittee or subcommittee authorization hearings;  
8 and

9 (D) first added by the Committee of Con-  
10 ference.

11 (b) RESCISSION.—There are rescinded—

12 (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c), which  
13 were set aside or otherwise made available out of ap-  
14 propriations made by the Departments of Com-  
15 merce, Justice, and State, the Judiciary, and Relat-  
16 ed Agencies Appropriations Act, 1993 (Pub. L. 102–  
17 395; 106 Stat. 1862–1863); and

18 (2) the underlying appropriations for the  
19 amounts.

20 (c) AMOUNTS.—Except to the extent obligated, the  
21 amounts rescinded by subsection (b) are as follows:

<b>Program, project, or activity:</b>	<b>Amount of rescission:</b>
Ohio Dept. of Development International Trade project .....	\$500,000
Small Business Databases (PA) and Transportation Linkages .....	\$1,000,000
Worcester Centrum and Exhibition Hall Infrastructure .....	\$1,500,000
St. Vincent/Francis Center for Global Competitiveness .....	\$800,000
Pittsburgh District Pilot Project .....	\$100,000
St. Louis Biomedical Center .....	\$400,000
Washington State University Research .....	\$500,000
Hazard Community College .....	\$750,000

<b>Program, project, or activity:</b>	<b>Amount of rescission:</b>
Morgan County High School .....	\$1,000,000
Kansas Small Business Development Center .....	\$500,000
Vermont Small Business Development Center .....	\$1,000,000
Technical Assistance Univ. of Arkansas .....	\$465,000
Univ. of Kansas - Science & Tech .....	\$4,185,000
Massachusetts Biotech Institute .....	\$1,395,000
New York City Library .....	\$930,000
Indiana State University Center for Interdisciplinary Studies .....	\$1,395,000
Center for Manufacturing Products .....	\$465,000
St. Louis Biomedical Center .....	\$400,00

## 1     **Subtitle C—Department of State**

### 2     **SEC. 221. EDUCATIONAL AND CULTURAL EXCHANGE PRO-** 3                 **GRAMS.**

4             (a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that—

5                 (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c) are set  
6             aside for the general enhancement of the exchange  
7             programs of the United States Information Agency;  
8             and

9                 (2) the amounts were—

10                 (A) not authorized;

11                 (B) not awarded on a competitive basis;

12                 (C) not the subject of congressional com-  
13             mittee or subcommittee authorization hearings;  
14             and

15                 (D) first added by the Committee of Con-  
16             ference.

17             (b) RESCISSION.—There are rescinded—

18                 (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c), which  
19             were set aside or otherwise made available out of ap-  
20             propriations made by the Departments of Com-

1 merce, Justice, and State, the Judiciary, and Relat-  
 2 ed Agencies Appropriations Act, 1993 (Pub. L. 102–  
 3 395; 106 Stat. 1870–1871); and

4 (2) the underlying appropriations for the  
 5 amounts.

6 (c) AMOUNTS.—Except to the extent obligated, the  
 7 amounts rescinded by subsection (b) are the following  
 8 (Conference Report to accompany H.R. 5678, House Re-  
 9 port 102–918, page 97):

Program, project, or activity:	Amount of rescission:
World Scholar-Athlete Games .....	\$800,000
General Enhancement for Exchange Programs .....	\$9,250,000

10 **SEC. 222. NORTH/SOUTH CENTER.**

11 (a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that—

12 (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c) are set  
 13 aside for the North/South Center; and

14 (2) the amounts were—

15 (A) not authorized;

16 (B) not awarded on a competitive basis;

17 (C) not the subject of congressional com-  
 18 mittee or subcommittee authorization hearings.

19 (b) RESCISSION.—There are rescinded—

20 (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c), which  
 21 were set aside or otherwise made available out of ap-  
 22 propriations made by the Departments of Com-  
 23 merce, Justice, and State, the Judiciary, and Relat-

1 ed Agencies Appropriations Act, 1993 (Pub. L. 102–  
2 395; 106 Stat. 1872); and

3 (2) the underlying appropriations for the  
4 amounts.

5 (c) AMOUNT.—Except to the extent obligated, the  
6 amounts rescinded by subsection (b) are the following  
7 (Conference Report to accompany H.R. 5678, House Re-  
8 port 102–918, page 99):

Program, project, or activity:	Amount of rescission:
North/South Center at the University of Miami, in Miami, Florida .....	\$8,700,000

9 **TITLE III—DEFENSE**  
10 **APPROPRIATIONS**  
11 **Subtitle A—Research,**  
12 **Development, Test and Evaluation**

13 **SEC. 301. DEFENSE AGENCIES—UNIVERSITY GRANTS.**

14 (a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that—

15 (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c) are set  
16 aside for university grants; and

17 (2) the amounts were—

18 (A) not authorized;

19 (B) not awarded on a competitive basis;

20 (C) not the subject of congressional com-  
21 mittee or subcommittee authorization hearings;

22 and



1 (D) first added by the Committee of Con-  
2 ference.

3 (b) RESCISSION.—There are rescinded—

4 (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c), which  
5 were set aside or otherwise made available out of ap-  
6 propriations made by the Department of Defense  
7 Appropriations Act, 1993 (Pub. L. 102–396; 106  
8 Stat. 1893–1895); and

9 (2) the underlying appropriations for the  
10 amounts.

11 (c) AMOUNTS.—Except to the extent obligated, the  
12 amounts rescinded by subsection (b) are the following  
13 (Conference Report to accompany H.R. 5504, House Re-  
14 port 102–1015, pages 14–15):

<b>Program, project, or activity:</b>	<b>Amount of rescission:</b>
Texas Regional Institute for Environmental Studies .....	\$5,000,000
University of Maryland .....	\$7,500,000
University of Scranton .....	\$3,500,000
Spokane Intercollegiate Research and Technology Institute .....	\$15,000,000
Northeastern University .....	\$9,000,000
Colorado State University .....	\$5,000,000
Louisiana State University .....	\$4,000,000
Illinois Institute of Technology .....	\$5,000,000
Loma Linda University .....	\$5,000,000
University of Minnesota .....	\$2,000,000
University of Miami, Florida .....	\$2,000,000
University of Michigan .....	\$500,000
University of Pennsylvania .....	\$7,500,000
Villanova University .....	\$2,000,000
Medical College of Ohio .....	\$1,000,000
Rochester Institute of Technology .....	\$3,000,000
Bryant College of Rhode Island .....	\$2,000,000
Bradley University of Illinois .....	\$7,500,000
University of South Florida .....	\$2,000,000
Science Center of Connecticut .....	\$3,000,000
National Biomedical Research Foundation .....	\$10,000,000

1 **SEC. 302. DEFENSE AGENCIES—ELECTRIC VEHICLE TECH-**  
2 **NOLOGY.**

3 (a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that—

4 (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c) are set  
5 aside for electrical vehicle demonstration projects;  
6 and

7 (2) the amounts were—

8 (A) not authorized;

9 (B) not awarded on a competitive basis;

10 and

11 (C) not the subject of congressional com-  
12 mittee or subcommittee authorization hearings.

13 (b) RESCISSION.—There are rescinded—

14 (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c), which  
15 were set aside or otherwise made available out of ap-  
16 propriations made by the Department of Defense  
17 Appropriations Act, 1993 (Pub. L. 102–396; 106  
18 Stat. 1893); and

19 (2) the underlying appropriations for the  
20 amounts.

21 (c) AMOUNTS.—Except to the extent obligated, the  
22 amounts rescinded by subsection (b) are the following  
23 (Conference Report to accompany H.R. 5504, House Re-  
24 port 102–1015, page 118):

<b>Program, project, or activity:</b>	<b>Amount of rescission:</b>
For an electrical vehicle demonstration project in the State of Hawaii .....	\$5,000,000
For an electrical vehicle demonstration project in Sacramento, CA, involving the McClellan Air Force Base and the Sacramento Municipal Utility District .....	\$2,500,000

1 **SEC. 303. ARMY.**

2 (a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that—

3 (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c) are set  
4 aside for industrial preparedness and manufacturing  
5 technology development programs; and

6 (2) the amounts were—

7 (A) not authorized;

8 (B) not awarded on a competitive basis;

9 and

10 (C) not the subject of congressional com-  
11 mittee or subcommittee authorization hearings.

12 (b) RESCISSION.—There are rescinded—

13 (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c), which  
14 were set aside or otherwise made available out of ap-  
15 propriations made by the Department of Defense  
16 Appropriations Act, 1993 (Pub. L. 102–396; 106  
17 Stat. 1890–1891); and

18 (2) the underlying appropriations for the  
19 amounts.

20 (c) AMOUNTS.—Except to the extent obligated, the  
21 amounts rescinded by subsection (b) are the following

1 (Conference Report to accompany H.R. 5504, House Re-  
 2 port 102–1015, pages 125–126):

<b>Program, project, or activity:</b>	<b>Amount of rescission:</b>
For the establishment of a flexible manufacturing center at the Scranton Army Ammunition Plant .....	\$7,500,000
For large-scale investigation of the GP-160 Vaccine for Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome .....	\$20,000,000

3 **SEC. 304. MEDICAL RESEARCH.**

4 (a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that—

5 (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c) are set  
 6 aside for medical research; and

7 (2) the amounts were—

8 (A) not authorized;

9 (B) not awarded on a competitive basis;

10 (C) not the subject of congressional com-  
 11 mittee or subcommittee authorization hearings;  
 12 and

13 (D) first added by the Committee of Con-  
 14 ference, in the case of \$185,000,000 of the  
 15 amount provided for the item listed in sub-  
 16 section (c) relating to breast cancer research.

17 (b) RESCISSION.—There are rescinded—

18 (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c), which  
 19 were set aside or otherwise made available out of ap-  
 20 propriations made by the Department of Defense  
 21 Appropriations Act, 1993 (Pub. L. 102–396; 106  
 22 Stat. 1890–1891); and

1           (2) the underlying appropriations for the  
2           amounts.

3           (c) AMOUNTS.—Except to the extent obligated, the  
4           amounts rescinded by subsection (b) are the following  
5           (Conference Report to accompany H.R. 5504, House Re-  
6           port 102–1015, page 119):

Program, project, or activity:	Amount of rescission:
Prostate cancer research .....	\$2,000,000
Breast cancer research .....	\$210,000,000
AIDS research .....	\$58,891,000

7   **SEC. 305. NAVY.**

8           (a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that—

9           (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c) are set  
10          aside for naval research, development, testing, and  
11          evaluation; and

12          (2) the amounts were—

13                (A) not authorized;

14                (B) not awarded on a competitive basis;

15                (C) not the subject of congressional com-  
16          mittee or subcommittee authorization hearings;  
17          and

18                (D) first added by the Committee of Con-  
19          ference, in the case of the item listed in sub-  
20          section (c) relating to the West Virginia High  
21          Technology Corporation.

22          (b) RESCISSION.—There are rescinded—

1 (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c), which  
 2 were set aside or otherwise made available out of ap-  
 3 propriations made by the Department of Defense  
 4 Appropriations Act, 1993 (Pub. L. 102–396; 106  
 5 Stat. 1891–1892); and

6 (2) the underlying appropriations for the  
 7 amounts.

8 (c) AMOUNTS.—Except to the extent obligated, the  
 9 amounts rescinded by subsection (b) are the following  
 10 (Conference Report to accompany H.R. 5504, House Re-  
 11 port 102–1015, pages 126):

Program, project, or activity:	Amount of rescission:
For the West Virginia High Technology Corporation .....	\$1,500,000
For the National Center for Acoustics, Mississippi Resource Development Corporation .....	\$1,000,000

12 **SEC. 306. AIR FORCE.**

13 (a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that—

14 (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c) are set  
 15 aside for projects of the Space Surveillance Network  
 16 Improvement Program; and

17 (2) the amounts were—

18 (A) not authorized;

19 (B) not awarded on a competitive basis;

20 and

21 (C) not the subject of congressional com-  
 22 mittee or subcommittee authorization hearings.

1 (b) RESCISSION.—There are rescinded—

2 (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c), which  
 3 were set aside or otherwise made available out of ap-  
 4 propriations made by the Department of Defense  
 5 Appropriations Act, 1993 (Pub. L. 102–396; 106  
 6 Stat. 1892); and

7 (2) the underlying appropriations for the  
 8 amounts.

9 (c) AMOUNTS.—Except to the extent obligated, the  
 10 amounts rescinded by subsection (b) are the following  
 11 (Conference Report to accompany H.R. 5504, House Re-  
 12 port 102–1015, page 136):

Program, project, or activity:	Amount of rescission:
To continue the Advanced Electro-Optical System project at the Air Force Maui Optical Station .....	\$39,500,000
To continue the research program on development of coal-based, high ther- mal stability and endothermic jet fuels .....	\$2,000,000

## 13 **Subtitle B—Other Department of** 14 **Defense Programs**

### 15 **SEC. 311. DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAMS.**

16 (a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that—

17 (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c) are set  
 18 aside for efforts to prevent brown tree snakes from  
 19 entering Hawaii from Guam; and

20 (2) the amounts were—

21 (A) not authorized;

1 (B) not awarded on a competitive basis;  
 2 and

3 (C) not the subject of congressional com-  
 4 mittee or subcommittee authorization hearings.

5 (b) RESCISSION.—There are rescinded—

6 (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c), which  
 7 were set aside or otherwise made available out of ap-  
 8 propriations made by the Department of Defense  
 9 Appropriations Act, 1993 (Pub. L. 102–396; 106  
 10 Stat. 1897); and

11 (2) the underlying appropriations for the  
 12 amounts.

13 (c) AMOUNTS.—Except to the extent obligated, the  
 14 amounts rescinded by subsection (b) are the following  
 15 (Conference Report to accompany H.R. 5504, House Re-  
 16 port 102–1015, page 151):

Program, project, or activity:	Amount of rescission:
For brown tree snakes .....	\$1,000,000

## 17 **Subtitle C—Operations and** 18 **Maintenance**

### 19 **SEC. 321. SELF-POWERED LOCKS.**

20 (a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that—

21 (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c) are set  
 22 aside for providing, to the services and agencies  
 23 funded by the Department of Defense, self-powered



1 locks necessary to initiate a retrofit program to re-  
 2 place locks that do not meet current specifications;  
 3 and

4 (2) the amounts were—

5 (A) not authorized;

6 (B) not awarded on a competitive basis;

7 and

8 (C) not the subject of congressional com-  
 9 mittee or subcommittee authorization hearings.

10 (b) RESCISSION.—There are rescinded—

11 (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c), which  
 12 were set aside or otherwise made available out of ap-  
 13 propriations made by the Department of Defense  
 14 Appropriations Act, 1993 (Pub. L. 102–396; 106  
 15 Stat. 1878–1883); and

16 (2) the underlying appropriations for the  
 17 amounts.

18 (c) AMOUNTS.—Except to the extent obligated, the  
 19 amounts rescinded by subsection (b) are the following  
 20 (Conference Report to accompany H.R. 5504, House Re-  
 21 port 102–1015, page 55):

Program, project, or activity:	Amount of rescission:
For the Defense Logistics Agency to provide to the Services and Agencies self-powered locks .....	\$15,000,000

22 **SEC. 322. ATHLETIC COMPETITIONS.**

23 (a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that—

1 (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c) are set  
 2 aside for the World University Competition, the  
 3 1996 Summer Olympics, and World Cup USA 1994;  
 4 and

5 (2) the amounts were—

6 (A) not authorized;

7 (B) not awarded on a competitive basis;

8 and

9 (C) not the subject of congressional com-  
 10 mittee or subcommittee authorization hearings.

11 (b) RESCISSION.—There are rescinded—

12 (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c), which  
 13 were set aside or otherwise made available out of ap-  
 14 propriations made by the Department of Defense  
 15 Appropriations Act, 1993 (Pub. L. 102–396; 106  
 16 Stat. 1884–1885); and

17 (2) the underlying appropriations for the  
 18 amounts.

19 (c) AMOUNTS.—Except to the extent obligated, the  
 20 amounts rescinded by subsection (b) are the following  
 21 (Conference Report to accompany H.R. 5504, House Re-  
 22 port 102–1015, page 51):

<b>Program, project, or activity:</b>	<b>Amount of rescission:</b>
For the World University Competition .....	\$6,000,000
For the 1996 Summer Olympics .....	\$2,000,000
For World Cup USA 1994 .....	\$9,000,000

1 **SEC. 323. ARMY.**

2 (a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that—

3 (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c) are set  
4 aside for procurement of extended cold weather  
5 clothing systems; and

6 (2) the amounts were—

7 (A) not authorized;

8 (B) not awarded on a competitive basis;

9 and

10 (C) not the subject of congressional com-  
11 mittee or subcommittee authorization hearings.

12 (b) RESCISSION.—There are rescinded—

13 (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c), which  
14 were set aside or otherwise made available out of ap-  
15 propriations made by the Department of Defense  
16 Appropriations Act, 1993 (Pub. L. 102–396; 106  
17 Stat. 1879); and

18 (2) the underlying appropriations for the  
19 amounts.

20 (c) AMOUNTS.—Except to the extent obligated, the  
21 amounts rescinded by subsection (b) are the following  
22 (Conference Report to accompany H.R. 5504, House Re-  
23 port 102–1015, page 62):

Program, project, or activity:	Amount of rescission:
For the procurement of Extended Cold Weather Clothing Systems .....	\$36,000,000

1 **SEC. 324. DEFENSE AGENCIES.**

2 (a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that—

3 (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c) are set  
4 aside for the study, assessment, and identification of  
5 nuclear waste disposal by the former Soviet Union,  
6 and Project PEACE; and

7 (2) the amounts were—

8 (A) not authorized;

9 (B) not awarded on a competitive basis;

10 and

11 (C) not the subject of congressional com-  
12 mittee or subcommittee authorization hearings.

13 (b) RESCISSION.—There are rescinded—

14 (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c), which  
15 were set aside or otherwise made available out of ap-  
16 propriations made by the Department of Defense  
17 Appropriations Act, 1993 (Pub. L. 102–396; 106  
18 Stat. 1928); and

19 (2) the underlying appropriations for the  
20 amounts.

21 (c) AMOUNTS.—Except to the extent obligated, the  
22 amounts rescinded by subsection (b) are the following  
23 (Conference Report to accompany H.R. 5504, House Re-  
24 port 102–1015, pages 27 and 171):

<b>Program, project, or activity:</b>	<b>Amount of rescission:</b>
For the study, assessment, and identification of nuclear waste disposal by the former Soviet Union in the Arctic region .....	\$10,000,000
For Project PEACE .....	\$25,000,000

1 **SEC. 325. HAWAII VOLCANO OBSERVATORY.**

2 (a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that—

3 (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c) are set  
4 aside for monitoring volcanic activity affecting the  
5 United States Army Pohakuloa training area; and

6 (2) the amounts were—

7 (A) not authorized;

8 (B) not awarded on a competitive basis;

9 and

10 (C) not the subject of congressional com-  
11 mittee or subcommittee authorization hearings.

12 (b) RESCISSION.—There are rescinded—

13 (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c), which  
14 were set aside or otherwise made available out of ap-  
15 propriations made by the Department of Defense  
16 Appropriations Act, 1993 (Pub. L. 102–396; 106  
17 Stat. 1881); and

18 (2) the underlying appropriations for the  
19 amounts.

20 (c) AMOUNTS.—Except to the extent obligated, the  
21 amounts rescinded by subsection (b) are the following

1 (Conference Report to accompany H.R. 5504, House Re-  
 2 port 102–1015, page 77):

Program, project, or activity:	Amount of rescission:
For the Hawaiian Volcano Observatory for monitoring volcanic activity af- fecting the United States Army Pohakuloa Training Area .....	\$500,000

## 3 **TITLE IV—ENERGY AND WATER** 4 **APPROPRIATIONS**

### 5 **Subtitle A—Corps of Engineers**

#### 6 **SEC. 401. GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS.**

7 (a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that—

8 (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c) are set  
 9 aside for surveys and planning activities of the Army  
 10 Corps of Engineers; and

11 (2) the amounts were—

12 (A) not authorized;

13 (B) not awarded on a competitive basis;

14 (C) not the subject of congressional com-  
 15 mittee or subcommittee authorization hearings;

16 and

17 (D) first added by the Committee of Con-  
 18 ference.

19 (b) RESCISSION.—There are rescinded—

20 (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c), which  
 21 were set aside or otherwise made available out of ap-  
 22 propriations made by the Energy and Water Devel-

1        opment Appropriations Act, 1993 (Pub. L. 102–377;  
2        106 Stat. 1317); and

3            (2) the underlying appropriations for the  
4        amounts.

5        (c) AMOUNTS.—Except to the extent obligated, the  
6        amounts rescinded by subsection (b) are the following  
7        (Conference Report to accompany H.R. 5373, House Re-  
8        port 102–866, page 25):

Program, project, or activity:	Amount of rescission:
Kaumalapau Harbor, HI .....	\$500,000

9    **SEC. 402. CONSTRUCTION, GENERAL.**

10        (a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that—

11            (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c) are set  
12        aside for construction of water resource projects of  
13        the Army Corps of Engineers; and

14            (2) the amounts were—

15                    (A) not authorized;

16                    (B) not awarded on a competitive basis;

17                    (C) not the subject of congressional com-  
18        mittee or subcommittee authorization hearings;  
19        and

20                    (D) first added by the Committee of Con-  
21        ference.

22        (b) RESCISSION.—There are rescinded—

(1) the amounts listed in subsection (c), which were set aside or otherwise made available out of appropriations made by the Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act, 1993 (Pub. L. 102–377; 106 Stat. 1321–1322); and

(2) the underlying appropriations for the amounts.

(c) AMOUNTS.—Except to the extent obligated, the amounts rescinded by subsection (b) are the following (Conference Report to accompany H.R. 5373, House Report 102–866, pages 35–41):

Program, project, or activity:	Amount of rescission:
Molly Ann's Brook, NJ .....	\$1,000,000
St. George Harbor, AK .....	\$3,000,000
Cochiti Lake, NM .....	\$1,900,000

## Subtitle B—Department of Energy

### SEC. 411. GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS.

(a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that—

(1) the amounts listed in subsection (c) are set aside for energy supply, research, and development activities; and

(2) the amounts were—

(A) not authorized;

(B) not awarded on a competitive basis;



1 (C) not the subject of congressional com-  
 2 mittee or subcommittee authorization hearings;  
 3 and

4 (D) first added by the Committee of Con-  
 5 ference.

6 (b) RESCISSION.—There are rescinded—

7 (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c), which  
 8 were set aside or otherwise made available out of ap-  
 9 propriations made by the Energy and Water Devel-  
 10 opment Appropriations Act, 1993 (Pub. L. 102–377;  
 11 106 Stat. 1333); and

12 (2) the underlying appropriations for the  
 13 amounts.

14 (c) AMOUNTS.—Except to the extent obligated, the  
 15 amounts rescinded by subsection (b) are the following  
 16 (Conference Report to accompany H.R. 5373, House Re-  
 17 port 102–866, pages 73–74):

<b>Program, project, or activity:</b>	<b>Amount of rescission:</b>
Bishop Science Center, HI .....	\$10,000,000
Ambulatory Research and Education Building, Oregon Health Sciences University .....	\$10,000,000
Center for Energy and Environmental Resources, Louisiana State Univer- sity, Baton Rouge .....	\$10,000,000
Advanced Technologies Institute, University of Connecticut .....	\$10,000,000
Biomedical Research Facility, University of Alabama at Birmingham .....	\$10,000,000
Cancer Treatment Facility for the Indiana University School of Medicine at Indianapolis .....	\$10,000,000
Cancer Institute of New Jersey .....	\$10,000,000
Northeast Environmental Resource and Renewal Facility, Mayfield, Penn- sylvania .....	\$6,800,000
Center for Advanced Industrial Process, Washington State University, Washington .....	\$8,000,000
Hahnemann University Ambulatory Care and Teaching Center in Philadel- phia, Pennsylvania .....	\$10,000,000
Midwest Plant Biotechnology Consortium (U. of Chicago and Washington U.) .....	\$2,500,000

## **TITLE V—INTERIOR APPROPRIATIONS**

### **SEC. 501. NATIONAL PARK SERVICE.**

(a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that—

(1) the amounts listed in subsection (c) are set aside for the operation of the National Park Service; and

(2) the amounts were—

(A) not authorized;

(B) not awarded on a competitive basis;

and

(C) not the subject of congressional committee or subcommittee authorization hearings.

(b) RESCISSION.—There are rescinded—

(1) the amounts listed in subsection (c), which were set aside or otherwise made available out of appropriations made by the Department of the Interior and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 1993 (Pub. L. 102–381; 106 Stat. 1381–1383); and

(2) the underlying appropriations for the amounts.

(c) AMOUNTS.—Except to the extent obligated, the amounts rescinded by subsection (b) are the following (Conference Report to accompany H.R. 5503, House Report 102–901, pages 28–30):

<b>Program, project, or activity:</b>	<b>Amount of rescission:</b>
Birmingham Heritage Corridor, AL .....	\$250,000
Horseshoe Curve NHL, PA .....	\$250,000
National Constitution Center, PA .....	\$250,000
Native Hawaiian Culture and Arts Program, HI .....	\$2,000,000
Wheeling National Heritage Area, WV .....	\$2,324,000
William Douglas Outdoor Education Center, CA .....	\$250,000
August Canal NHL, GA .....	\$75,000
Botto House, American Labor Museum, NJ .....	\$140,000
Kershaw House, SC .....	\$125,000
Lincoln Center, IL .....	\$1,700,000
Walk on the Mountain, WA .....	\$2,000,000
Mimbres NM, NM .....	\$150,000

1 **SEC. 502. UNITED STATES FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE.**

2 (a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that—

3 (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c) are set  
4 aside for construction projects; and

5 (2) the amounts were—

6 (A) not authorized;

7 (B) not awarded on a competitive basis;

8 and

9 (C) not the subject of congressional com-  
10 mittee or subcommittee authorization hearings.

11 (b) RESCISSION.—There are rescinded—

12 (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c), which  
13 were set aside or otherwise made available out of ap-  
14 propriations made by the Department of the Interior  
15 and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 1993  
16 (Pub. L. 102–381; 106 Stat. 1379–1380); and

17 (2) the underlying appropriations for the  
18 amounts.

(c) AMOUNTS.—Except to the extent obligated, the amounts rescinded by subsection (b) are the following (Conference Report to accompany H.R. 5503, House Report 102–901, pages 23–26):

Program, project, or activity:	Amount of rescission:
Appropriate technology transfer for rural areas .....	\$1,300,000
Forensics Laboratory, OR .....	\$195,000
Lake Champlain activities .....	\$500,000
Biodiversity initiative, University of Nevada-Reno, NV .....	\$1,050,000
Patuxent (Maintenance) .....	\$100,000
Leetown (environmental and handicapped fishing complex) .....	\$75,000
Co-op unit vacancies .....	\$73,000
Audubon Species Institute, LA .....	\$8,672,000
Bowerman Basin NWR, WA .....	\$500,000
California Condor breeding facility, CA .....	\$400,000
Cameron Prairie National Wildlife Refuge, LA .....	\$2,900,000
Cape Romain National Wildlife Refuge, OR .....	\$600,000
Chincoteague National Wildlife Refuge, VA .....	\$400,000
Coquille Point National Wildlife Refuge, OR .....	\$400,000
Craig Brook NFH, ME .....	\$400,000
DC Booth Hatchery, SD .....	\$1,250,000
Dexter National Fish Hatcher, NM .....	\$1,428,000
Hakalau National Wildlife Refuge, HI .....	\$300,000
Kenai National Wildlife Refuge, AK .....	\$2,500,000
Las Vegas National Wildlife Refuge, NM .....	\$2,000,000
National Education and Training Center, WV .....	\$15,000,000
Ottawa National Wildlife Refuge, OH .....	\$2,700,000
Pacific Institute of Natural Sciences, OR .....	\$1,700,000
Patuxent Wildlife Research Center, MD .....	\$626,000
Tishomingo National Wildlife Refuge, OK .....	\$450,000
Wichita Environmental Education Center, KA .....	\$2,000,000

## 5 SEC. 503. NATIONAL FOREST SERVICE.

(a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that—

(1) the amounts listed in subsection (c) are set aside for projects of the National Forest Service; and

(2) the amounts were—

(A) not authorized;

(B) not awarded on a competitive basis;

and

1 (C) not the subject of congressional com-  
 2 mittee or subcommittee authorization hearings.

3 (b) RESCISSION.—There are rescinded—

4 (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c), which  
 5 were set aside or otherwise made available out of ap-  
 6 propriations made by the Department of the Interior  
 7 and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 1993  
 8 (Pub. L. 102–381; 106 Stat. 1397–1399); and  
 9 (2) the underlying appropriations for the  
 10 amounts.

11 (c) AMOUNTS.—Except to the extent obligated, the  
 12 amounts rescinded by subsection (b) are the following  
 13 (Conference Report to accompany H.R. 5503, House Re-  
 14 port 102–901, pages 47–55):

Program, project, or activity:	Amount of rescission:
Termiticides at Gulfport, MS .....	\$120,000
Blue Mountains Institute at LaGrande, OR .....	\$100,000
Hardwoods ecology at Asheville, NC .....	\$200,000
Integrated forest management center, AR .....	\$200,000
Global change at Raleigh, NC .....	\$72,000
Southwestern forestry, Fort Collins, CO .....	\$300,000
Timber and Watershed at Honolulu, HI .....	\$100,000
Genetics at Rhinelander, WI .....	\$65,000
Management Practices at Parsons, WV .....	\$60,000
Timber bridges for West Virginia University, WV .....	\$200,000
Tannins at Pineville, LA .....	\$100,000
Columbia Gorge economic development grants .....	\$750,000
Fire protection for Burton/Santini lots at Lake Tahoe .....	\$200,000
Ohio Harbor tree planting, OH .....	\$500,000
Urban Forestry:	
Chicago, IL .....	\$1,000,000
Ohio Arbor, OH .....	\$500,000
Tacoma, WA .....	\$100,000
Bremerton, WA .....	\$50,000
Port Orchard, WA .....	\$50,000
Atlanta, GA .....	\$500,000
Northern New Jersey, NJ .....	\$500,000
Chesapeake Bay forest management .....	\$150,000
Center for Semi-Arid Agroforestry .....	\$200,000
Aerial Fire Depot, Missoula, MT .....	\$600,000
Ranger District Office, Helena, MT .....	\$650,000

<b>Program, project, or activity:</b>	<b>Amount of rescission:</b>
Ranger District Office, Androscoggin, NH .....	\$1,350,000
Winding Stair NRA, OK .....	\$1,100,000
Oconee National Forest .....	\$1,000,000
Southeast Alaska Visitors Center .....	\$2,500,000
Toiyabe National Forest (Kyle Station) .....	\$100,000
Monongahela National Forest .....	\$911,000
Timberline Lodge .....	\$771,000
Multnomah Falls .....	\$250,000
Green Mountain National Forest .....	\$375,000
Kisatchie National Forest storm damage .....	\$350,000
White Mountain National Forest .....	\$350,000
Timber roads in Dixie National Forest .....	\$8,500,000
Recreation roads in Dixie National Forest .....	\$250,000

## 1       **TITLE VI—TRANSPORTATION** 2       **APPROPRIATIONS**

### 3       **SEC. 601. AIRWAY SCIENCE GRANTS.**

4       (a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that—

5               (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c) are set  
6       aside for the airway science program; and

7               (2) the amounts were—

8                       (A) not authorized;

9                       (B) not awarded on a competitive basis;

10                      (C) not the subject of congressional com-  
11       mittee or subcommittee authorization hearings;

12       and

13                      (D) first added by the Committee of Con-  
14       ference.

15       (b) RESCISSION.—There are rescinded—

16               (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c), which  
17       were set aside or otherwise made available out of ap-  
18       propriations made by the Department of Transpor-

1       tation and Related Agencies Appropriations Act,  
 2       1993 (Pub. L. 102–388; 106 Stat. 1525–1526); and  
 3       (2) the underlying appropriations for the  
 4       amounts.

5       (c) AMOUNTS.—Except to the extent obligated, the  
 6       amounts rescinded by subsection (b) are the following  
 7       (Conference Report to accompany H.R. 5518, House Re-  
 8       port 102–924, page 32):

<b>Program, project, or activity:</b>	<b>Amount of rescission:</b>
Middle Tennessee State University .....	\$556,000
Embry-Ridele University (FL, AL) .....	\$11,500,000
Henderson State University (AR) .....	\$2,235,000
University of Alaska .....	\$6,884,000

9       **SEC. 602. HIGHWAY RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, AND TECH-**  
 10       **NOLOGY.**

11       (a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that—

12       (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c) are set  
 13       aside for highway research, development, and tech-  
 14       nology; and

15       (2) the amounts were—

16               (A) not authorized;

17               (B) not awarded on a competitive basis;

18               (C) not the subject of congressional com-  
 19       mittee or subcommittee authorization hearings;  
 20       and

21               (D) first added by the Committee of Con-  
 22       ference.

1 (b) RESCISSION.—There are rescinded—

2 (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c), which  
 3 were set aside or otherwise made available out of ap-  
 4 propriations made by the Department of Transpor-  
 5 tation and Related Agencies Appropriations Act,  
 6 1993 (Pub. L. 102–388; 106 Stat. 1527); and

7 (2) the underlying appropriations for the  
 8 amounts.

9 (c) AMOUNTS.—Except to the extent obligated, the  
 10 amounts rescinded by subsection (b) are the following  
 11 (Conference Report to accompany H.R. 5518, House Re-  
 12 port 102–924, page 34):

Program, project, or activity:	Amount of rescission:
University of California (San Diego) research on the use of composite ma- terials in construction .....	\$1,600,000

13 **SEC. 603. FEDERAL-AID HIGHWAYS.**

14 (a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that—

15 (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c) are set  
 16 aside for construction and maintenance of Federal-  
 17 Aid Highways; and

18 (2) the amounts were—

19 (A) not authorized;

20 (B) not awarded on a competitive basis;

21 and

22 (C) not the subject of congressional com-  
 23 mittee or subcommittee authorization hearings.



1 (b) RESCISSION.—There are rescinded—

2 (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c), which  
 3 were set aside or otherwise made available out of ap-  
 4 propriations made by the Department of Transpor-  
 5 tation and Related Agencies Appropriations Act,  
 6 1993 (Pub. L. 102–388; 106 Stat. 1529–1531); and

7 (2) the underlying appropriations for the  
 8 amounts.

9 (c) AMOUNTS.—Except to the extent obligated, the  
 10 amounts rescinded by subsection (b) are the following  
 11 (Conference Report to accompany H.R. 5518, House Re-  
 12 port 102–924, pages 38–40):

<b>Program, project, or activity:</b>	<b>Amount of rescission:</b>
Highway Safety and Economic Development Demonstration (MS) .....	\$6,400,000
Climbing Lane and Highway Safety Demonstration (PA) .....	\$3,840,000
Kentucky Bridge Demonstration Project .....	\$6,400,000
Virginia HOV Safety Demonstration Project .....	\$1,600,000
Bicycle Transportation Demonstration Project (MI) .....	\$304,000
Pennsylvania Reconstruction Demonstration Project .....	\$6,400,000
Pennsylvania Toll Road Demonstration Project .....	\$3,200,000

13 **SEC. 604. FEDERAL HIGHWAY DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS.**

14 (a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that—

15 (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c) are set  
 16 aside for Federal Highway Administration dem-  
 17 onstration projects; and

18 (2) the demonstration projects were—

19 (A) not authorized;

20 (B) not awarded on a competitive basis;

21 and

1 (C) not the subject of congressional com-  
 2 mittee or subcommittee authorization hearings.

3 (b) RESCISSION.—There are rescinded—

4 (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c), which  
 5 were set aside or otherwise made available out of ap-  
 6 propriations made by the Department of Transpor-  
 7 tation and Related Agencies Appropriations Act,  
 8 1993 (Pub. L. 102–388; 106 Stat. 1531); and  
 9 (2) the underlying appropriations for the  
 10 amounts.

11 (c) AMOUNTS.—Except to the extent obligated, the  
 12 amounts rescinded by subsection (b) are the following  
 13 amounts provided for Federal Highway Administration  
 14 studies (Conference Report to accompany H.R. 5518,  
 15 House Report 102–924, pages 40–41):

<b>Program, project, or activity:</b>	<b>Amount of rescission:</b>
Appalachian corridors:	
New York: Corridor “T” .....	\$16,000,000
West Virginia:	
Corridor “G” or “H” .....	\$80,000,000
Corridor “L” .....	\$24,000,000
Sky Harbor Airport Access Road, AZ .....	\$6,000,000
Lock and Dam No. 4 Road (Pine Bluff, AR) .....	\$6,400,000
Highway 156 Bypass (Hollister), CA .....	\$960,000
Access Road to Ontario International Airport, CA .....	\$3,200,000
Florida: Northeast Dade Bikepaths:	
City of North Miami .....	\$400,000
City of North Miami Beach .....	\$400,000
Florida U.S. 27 (Palm Beach County) .....	\$1,760,000
Biscayne Boulevard/U.S. 1 Connect Port/Miami to I-395, FL .....	\$2,400,000
17th Street tunnel project (Fort Lauderdale) .....	\$4,912,000
Lake Lanier Access Project, GA .....	\$2,624,000
Kihei-Haleakal Highway, HI .....	\$4,000,000
Saddle Road, Island of Hawaii, HI .....	\$960,000
U.S. 63 from Waterloo to Minnesota State Border, IA .....	\$3,520,000
5th Street to 6th Street, Waterloo, IA .....	\$2,000,000
Des Moines to Burlington Connector, IA .....	\$8,000,000
I-65 and State Rt 46 Interchange Project (Columbus), IN .....	\$6,400,000
Muncie State Road 67 (I-69 to Muncie Bypass), IN .....	\$4,992,000
U.S. 54/Kellogg Freeway, KS .....	\$6,160,000

<b>Program, project, or activity:</b>	<b>Amount of rescission:</b>
Woodrow Wilson Bridge, MD .....	\$5,000,000
M-84 Bay Road -- Saginaw and Bay Counties, MI .....	\$100,000
Grand Rapids I-96 Bypass, MI .....	\$768,000
Bristol Road Relocation Project (Flint and Genesee Counties), MI .....	\$1,440,000
U.S. 31 (City of Niles and City of Benton Harbor), MI .....	\$320,000
Maple Road Extension, Walled Lake, MI .....	\$2,000,000
Multi-Modal Transportation Center, St. Louis, MO .....	\$640,000
I-90 Interchange, Belgrade, MT .....	\$2,000,000
U.S. 64 (East of Jordan Lake to U.S.-64/U.S.-1 Interchange in Cary), NC .....	\$4,640,000
Newcastle Verrillion Bridge, SD .....	\$3,296,000
Route 21 widening -- RR/Highway Bridge "NJ Transit Bridge" (Newark), NJ .....	\$1,200,000
I-280 Down Connector (including acquisition for First Street Ramp), NJ .	\$1,504,000
Route 21 Viaduct Advanced Property Acquisition .....	\$2,880,000
Airport Access from I-25 (Albuquerque) Sunport Boulevard, NM .....	\$4,400,000
I-15 Sahara Avenue Interchange (Las Vegas), NV .....	\$11,460,000
U.S. 395 Extension from South Virginia to Mt. Rose Highway .....	\$2,700,000
I-15 Spring Mountain Interchange (Las Vegas), NV .....	\$6,000,000
Design Improvements on Miller Highway (New York City), NY .....	\$1,696,000
Exit 26 Bridge (Mohawk River Valley) (Schenectady County), NY .....	\$1,280,000
Pond Creek Road, Grant County, OK .....	\$3,360,000
Donora Industrial Park Access Road (Donora--Monessen Bridge/I-70), PA	\$640,000
U.S. Route 6 Bypass/widening (Wysox, Towanda and Tunkhannock Bor- oughs), PA .....	\$1,280,000
U.S. 202 between King of Prussia and Montgomeryville, PA .....	\$1,440,000
I-81 (vicinity of Wilkes-Barre) (Exits 43-46 corridor project), PA .....	\$2,880,000
SR 56 Rehabilitation, PA .....	\$9,600,000
Walnut Street/Bluff Furnace Park (Chattanooga), TN .....	\$320,000
U.S.-Mexico Border Highway (Loop 375) (El Paso), TX .....	\$1,600,000
U.S. Route 89 between Farmington and Ogden, UT .....	\$2,400,000
I-15/University Interchange, Provo, UT .....	\$4,240,000
Christiansted Bypass (St. Croix), VI .....	\$544,000
Snohomish County HOV (Evert and Seattle)/park-n-ride projects (Lynwood), WA .....	\$320,000
State Route 509, East-West Corridor project (Port of Tacoma), WA .....	\$1,520,000
Scenic Byways Project: U.S. 101 (WA, OR, CA) .....	\$4,000,000

## 1 **SEC. 605. TRANSIT PLANNING AND RESEARCH.**

2 (a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that—

3 (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c) are set  
4 aside for transit planning and research; and

5 (2) the amounts were—

6 (A) not authorized;

7 (B) not awarded on a competitive basis;

8 (C) not the subject of congressional com-  
9 mittee or subcommittee authorization hearings;

10 and

1 (D) first added by the Committee of Con-  
2 ference.

3 (b) RESCISSION.—There are rescinded—

4 (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c), which  
5 were set aside or otherwise made available out of ap-  
6 propriations made by the Department of Transpor-  
7 tation and Related Agencies Appropriations Act,  
8 1993 (Pub. L. 102–388; 106 Stat. 1536–1537); and  
9 (2) the underlying appropriations for the  
10 amounts.

11 (c) AMOUNTS.—Except to the extent obligated, the  
12 amounts rescinded by subsection (b) are the following  
13 (Conference Report to accompany H.R. 5518, House Re-  
14 port 102–924, page 49):

Program, project, or activity:	Amount of rescission:
Florida International University and University of South Florida .....	\$3,000,000

15 **TITLE VII—TREASURY, POSTAL**  
16 **SERVICE, AND GENERAL GOV-**  
17 **ERNMENT APPROPRIATIONS**

18 **SEC. 701. GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION.**

19 (a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that—

20 (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c) are set  
21 aside for design and construction grants funded  
22 through the Federal Buildings Fund, under the ju-

1 jurisdiction of the General Services Administration;  
 2 and

3 (2) the amounts were—

4 (A) not authorized;

5 (B) not awarded on a competitive basis;

6 and

7 (C) not the subject of congressional com-  
 8 mittee or subcommittee authorization hearings.

9 (b) RESCISSION.—There are rescinded—

10 (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c), which  
 11 were set aside or otherwise made available out of ap-  
 12 propriations made by the Treasury, Postal Service,  
 13 and General Government Appropriations Act, 1993  
 14 (Pub. L. 102–393; 106 Stat. 1744–1745); and

15 (2) the underlying appropriations for the  
 16 amounts.

17 (c) AMOUNTS.—Except to the extent obligated, the  
 18 amounts rescinded by subsection (b) are the following  
 19 (Conference Report to accompany H.R. 5488, House Re-  
 20 port 102–919, pages 6–7 and 51–53):

<b>Program, project, or activity:</b>	<b>Amount of rescission:</b>
Construction of a new parking facility in Newark, New Jersey .....	\$9,000,000
Expansion of the Scranton, Pennsylvania Mail Facility .....	\$3,000,000
Centers for Disease Control, site, acquisition, and improvements in Atlanta, Georgia .....	\$26,000,000
For construction of a Center of Disease Control laboratory in Atlanta, Georgia .....	\$30,000,000
For construction of a Center of Disease Control campus in Atlanta, Geor- gia .....	\$15,000,000

<b>Program, project, or activity:</b>	<b>Amount of rescission:</b>
For construction of a new United States Courthouse facility in Brooklyn, New York .....	\$15,000,000
For a Courthouse in Albany, Georgia .....	\$6,000,000

1 **SEC. 702. OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT.**

2 (a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that—

3 (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c) are set  
4 aside for the establishment of health promotion and  
5 disease prevention programs for Federal employees;  
6 and

7 (2) the amounts were—

8 (A) not authorized;

9 (B) not awarded on a competitive basis;

10 and

11 (C) not the subject of congressional com-  
12 mittee or subcommittee authorization hearings.

13 (b) RESCISSION.—There are rescinded—

14 (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c), which  
15 were set aside or otherwise made available out of ap-  
16 propriations made by the Treasury, Postal Service,  
17 and General Government Appropriations Act, 1993  
18 (Pub. L. 102–393; 106 Stat. 1754–1755); and

19 (2) the underlying appropriations for the  
20 amounts.

21 (c) AMOUNTS.—Except to the extent obligated, the  
22 amounts rescinded by subsection (b) are the following

1 (Conference Report to accompany H.R. 5488, House Re-  
 2 port 102–919, page 59):

Program, project, or activity:	Amount of rescission:
Expanded efforts for health promotion for federal employees .....	\$1,000,000

3 **TITLE VIII—VETERANS AFFAIRS,**  
 4 **HOUSING AND URBAN DEVEL-**  
 5 **OPMENT, AND INDEPENDENT**  
 6 **AGENCIES APPROPRIATIONS**  
 7 **Subtitle A—Department of**  
 8 **Veterans Affairs**

9 **SEC. 801. CONSTRUCTION GRANTS.**

10 (a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that—

11 (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c) are set  
 12 aside for construction grants; and

13 (2) the amounts were—

14 (A) not authorized;

15 (B) not awarded on a competitive basis;

16 (C) not the subject of congressional com-  
 17 mittee or subcommittee authorization hearings;  
 18 and

19 (D) first added by the Committee of Con-  
 20 ference.

21 (b) RESCISSION.—There are rescinded—

22 (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c), which  
 23 were set aside or otherwise made available out of ap-

1        appropriations made by the Departments of Veterans  
 2        Affairs and Housing and Urban Development, and  
 3        Independent Agencies Appropriations Act, 1993  
 4        (Pub. L. 102–389; 106 Stat. 1576); and

5            (2) the underlying appropriations for the  
 6        amounts.

7        (c) AMOUNTS.—Except to the extent obligated, the  
 8        amounts rescinded by subsection (b) are the following  
 9        (Conference Report to accompany H.R. 5679, House Re-  
 10      port 102–902, page 14):

<b>Program, project, or activity:</b>	<b>Amount of rescission:</b>
Relocation of the regional office in Jackson, MS .....	\$16,300,000
Planning and design of a joint project with the College of Medicine for the relocation of medical school functions and renovation of buildings 2, 3, and 5 at the Mountain Home, TN, VA Medical Center .....	\$2,800,000

## 11        **Subtitle B—Independent Agencies**

### 12        **SEC. 811. EPA—RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT.**

13        (a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that—

14            (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c) are set  
 15        aside for research and development; and

16            (2) the amounts were—

17                    (A) not authorized;

18                    (B) not awarded on a competitive basis;

19                    (C) not the subject of congressional com-

20        mittee or subcommittee authorization hearings;

21        and



1 (D) first added by the Committee of Con-  
 2 ference.

3 (b) RESCISSION.—There are rescinded—

4 (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c), which  
 5 were set aside or otherwise made available out of ap-  
 6 propriations made by the Departments of Veterans  
 7 Affairs and Housing and Urban Development, and  
 8 Independent Agencies Appropriations Act, 1993  
 9 (Pub. L. 102–389; 106 Stat. 1596); and  
 10 (2) the underlying appropriations for the  
 11 amounts.

12 (c) AMOUNTS.—Except to the extent obligated, the  
 13 amounts rescinded by subsection (b) are the following  
 14 (Conference Report to accompany H.R. 5679, House Re-  
 15 port 102–902, pages 41–43):

<b>Program, project, or activity:</b>	<b>Amount of rescission:</b>
Adirondack Destruction Assessment Program .....	\$500,000
Center for Environmental Management .....	\$3,200,000
National Academy of Sciences study of wetlands .....	\$400,000
American Water Works Association Research Foundation .....	\$575,000
Southeast Environmental Research Center .....	\$2,000,000
Center for Excellence in Polymer Research and Environmental Study .....	\$1,200,000
Nutrient loading research project in Boston Harbor and Massachusetts Bay. These funds should be allocated to the Naragansett Laboratory .....	\$400,000
Interagency coordination of Great Lakes .....	\$125,000
Water Environment Research Foundation .....	\$700,000
Energy and Environmental Research Center at the University of North Dakota for a cradle-to-grave assessment and control technology develop- ment program for toxic metal emissions .....	\$1,600,000
Recycling and reuse technology development project at the Iowa Waste Re- duction Center .....	\$700,000
Urban waste management research at the University of New Orleans .....	\$700,000
National ultraviolet monitoring center, a national program to monitor the ultraviolet flux reaching the Earth's surface. The monitoring center is to be established at the University of Georgia, Athens, GA .....	\$700,000

<b>Program, project, or activity:</b>	<b>Amount of rescission:</b>
Pollution prevention research and development center at the University of Connecticut .....	\$1,450,000
Center for Analysis of Environmental Change to provide comprehensive approaches needed for wise management of the Columbia and Snake Rivers ecosystem resources .....	\$250,000

1 **SEC. 812. EPA—ABATEMENT, CONTROL, AND COMPLIANCE.**

2 (a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that—

3 (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c) are set  
4 aside for abatement, control, and compliance; and

5 (2) the amounts were—

6 (A) not authorized;

7 (B) not awarded on a competitive basis;

8 (C) not the subject of congressional com-  
9 mittee or subcommittee authorization hearings;  
10 and

11 (D) first added by the Committee of Con-  
12 ference.

13 (b) RESCISSION.—There are rescinded—

14 (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c), which  
15 were set aside or otherwise made available out of ap-  
16 propriations made by the Departments of Veterans  
17 Affairs and Housing and Urban Development, and  
18 Independent Agencies Appropriations Act, 1993  
19 (Pub. L. 102–389; 106 Stat. 1596–1597); and

20 (2) the underlying appropriations for the  
21 amounts.

(c) AMOUNTS.—Except to the extent obligated, the amounts rescinded by subsection (b) are the following (Conference Report to accompany H.R. 5679, House Report 102–902, pages 44–47):

Program, project, or activity:	Amount of rescission:
Alternative fuels demonstration project in the South Coast Air Quality Management District .....	\$600,000
National Rural Water Association .....	\$3,300,000
NRWA's groundwater protection program .....	\$1,000,000
Indian multimedia grants .....	\$1,000,000
National High Altitude Center .....	\$350,000
Documentary of the Hudson River Highlands .....	\$210,000
Tar-Pamlico River Basin nonpoint source demonstration and watershed pilot program .....	\$350,000
EPA's environmental statistics initiative .....	\$750,000
Cleanup of contaminated sediments in the Buffalo River .....	\$800,000
Continued work on the Maumee River and Bay basinwide assessment of hazardous waste sites .....	\$1,250,000
Saginaw River Watershed .....	\$1,000,000
Underground injection control program .....	\$200,000
Continued work on the Spokane aquifer .....	\$1,000,000
EPA's Region V Lake/Watershed management plan .....	\$850,000
Wetlands mitigation bank prototype in Warrenton, OR .....	\$150,000
Wetlands demonstration project on the Susquehanna River in Northeastern Pennsylvania .....	\$700,000
Wetlands study of the McKenzie River Basin .....	\$600,000
Conduct a groundwater study of the Calumet Lake Region .....	\$200,000
Hayward Marsh Wetlands restoration .....	\$750,000
Innovative and alternative wastewater treatment demonstration project in the Florida Keys .....	\$500,000
Methane Energy and Agriculture Development Program .....	\$750,000
Pilot project on microscale chemistry techniques to support innovative research in pollution prevention .....	\$250,000
Office of Small and Disadvantaged Business Utilization for additional training for minority and women contractors .....	\$50,000
Canaan Valley, West Virginia, wetlands complex .....	\$250,000
Diary pollution research .....	\$550,000
Lake water quality activities by the State of New Jersey, including activities at Strawbridge Lake, and continuing work at Lake Musconetcong and Alcyon Lake, or other lakes the State deems appropriate. This sum should be in addition to, and not in lieu of, any other Federal assistance .....	\$550,000
St. Croix River International Waterway Commission .....	\$100,000
Oyster reseeding in the Chesapeake Bay .....	\$1,000,000
Lake Pontchartrain water quality improvements .....	\$3,800,000
Northwest Indian tribes for coordinated and cooperative environmental protection approaches .....	\$2,500,000
Multimedia project funding to be utilized by the Inter Tribal Council of Arizona to continue to meet solid waste needs assessment and planning requirements of the Arizona tribes .....	\$1,000,000
High Altitude In-Use Compliance Center .....	\$200,000
National demonstration project of onsite wastewater treatment technologies and management systems .....	\$1,500,000
Small Towns Environment Program to aid small communities in new approaches to design and construct water and wastewater systems .....	\$700,000
Small business pollution prevention demonstration program in New Jersey .....	\$450,000
Investigate the causes and develop potential solutions to the algal bloom crisis in West Maui .....	\$400,000

<b>Program, project, or activity:</b>	<b>Amount of rescission:</b>
Continuation of a nonpoint source pollution study in Nebraska, Iowa, and Kansas to study the origins and migrations of nonpoint source pollution, using advanced land-imaging techniques .....	\$1,500,000
Restore Decker Lake in West Valley City, UT .....	\$1,000,000
Cleanup of Black Hawk Lake near Wall Lake, IA .....	\$400,000
Integrated pollution prevention initiative at the New Jersey Institute of Technology .....	\$2,000,000
Northeast States for coordinated air use management for interstate pollution control and ozone reduction .....	\$300,000
Association of State and Interstate Water Pollution Control Administrators (conference) .....	\$400,000
Grand River Basin nonpoint source pollution program .....	\$400,000
Consortium for International Earth Science Information Network (CIESIN) (conference) .....	\$4,000,000

**1 SEC. 813. EPA—BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES.**

2 (a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that—

3 (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c) are set  
4 aside for buildings and facilities; and

5 (2) the amounts were—

6 (A) not authorized;

7 (B) not awarded on a competitive basis;

8 and

9 (C) not the subject of congressional com-  
10 mittee or subcommittee authorization hearings.

11 (b) RESCISSION.—There are rescinded—

12 (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c), which  
13 were set aside or otherwise made available out of ap-  
14 propriations made by the Departments of Veterans  
15 Affairs and Housing and Urban Development, and  
16 Independent Agencies Appropriations Act, 1993  
17 (Pub. L. 102–389; 106 Stat. 1598–1599); and

(2) the underlying appropriations for the amounts.

(c) AMOUNTS.—Except to the extent obligated, the amounts rescinded by subsection (b) are the following (Conference Report to accompany H.R. 5679, House Report 102–902, pages 51–55):

Program, project, or activity:	Amount of rescission:
Environmental Health Science Research Center at Columbia University .....	\$10,000,000
Grant for the Christopher Columbus Center of Marine Research and Exploration .....	\$12,500,000
Grant for the Maine Quarternary Studies Institute .....	\$1,000,000
Grant to the University of Utah for an Intermountain Regional Network and Scientific Computation Center .....	\$5,000,000
For a research program in cooperation with the Association of Minority Health Professions Schools. ....	\$4,000,000
For a study of human health impacts of contaminated fish .....	\$3,000,000
For chemical sensitivity/low level chemical and environmental exposure workshops .....	\$250,000
For a PCB exposure study in Monroe County, IN .....	\$250,000
For the Lipari information network .....	\$50,000
For NIEHS worker training grants .....	\$20,000,000
For NIEHS basic research grants .....	\$20,000,000
For the Gulf Coast Hazardous Substance Research Center .....	\$2,500,000
For Clark Atlanta University Hazardous Substance Research Center .....	\$3,000,000
For the SITE program to develop the Mine Waste Pilot Program .....	\$2,500,000
For a grant to the Ocean County Utilities Authority, in the State of New Jersey, for necessary modifications and replacements to the Carver-Greenfield sewage treatment plant .....	\$19,000,000
For a grant for necessary work to remove and reroute existing sewer lines at the Centennial Olympic site in the City of Atlanta, GA .....	\$7,000,000
For a grant to the State of Arizona for an interceptor/collection system in the City of Avondale, AZ .....	\$10,000,000

**SEC. 814. OFFICE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY POLICY.**

(a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that—

(1) the amounts listed in subsection (c) are set aside for activities associated with CIESIN; and

(2) the amounts were—

(A) not authorized;

(B) not awarded on a competitive basis;

1 (C) not the subject of congressional com-  
 2 mittee or subcommittee authorization hearings;  
 3 and

4 (D) first added by the Committee of Con-  
 5 ference.

6 (b) RESCISSION.—There are rescinded—

7 (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c), which  
 8 were set aside or otherwise made available out of ap-  
 9 propriations made by the Departments of Veterans  
 10 Affairs and Housing and Urban Development, and  
 11 Independent Agencies Appropriations Act, 1993  
 12 (Pub. L. 102–389; 106 Stat. 1604); and

13 (2) the underlying appropriations for the  
 14 amounts.

15 (c) AMOUNTS.—Except to the extent obligated, the  
 16 amounts rescinded by subsection (b) are the following  
 17 (Conference Report to accompany H.R. 5679, House Re-  
 18 port 102–902, page 60):

Program, project, or activity:	Amount of rescission:
For activities associated with the Consortium for International Earth Science Information Network (CIESIN) .....	\$2,000,000

19 **SEC. 815. NASA—RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT.**

20 (a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that—

21 (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c) are set  
 22 aside for research and development; and

23 (2) the amounts were—

- 1 (A) not authorized;
- 2 (B) not awarded on a competitive basis;
- 3 and
- 4 (C) not the subject of congressional com-
- 5 mittee or subcommittee authorization hearings.

6 (b) RESCISSION.—There are rescinded—

7 (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c), which

8 were set aside or otherwise made available out of ap-

9 propriations made by the Departments of Veterans

10 Affairs and Housing and Urban Development, and

11 Independent Agencies Appropriations Act, 1993

12 (Pub. L. 102–389; 106 Stat. 1608–1609); and

13 (2) the underlying appropriations for the

14 amounts.

15 (c) AMOUNTS.—Except to the extent obligated, the

16 amounts rescinded by subsection (b) are the following

17 (Conference Report to accompany H.R. 5679, House Re-

18 port 102–902, pages 66–71):

<b>Program, project, or activity:</b>	<b>Amount of rescission:</b>
For the Consortium for International Earth Science Information Network (CIESIN) .....	\$20,000,000
For the National Technology Transfer Center, Wheeling Jesuit College, Wheeling, WV .....	\$4,400,000
For AdaNet .....	\$2,100,000
For the Nebraska Department of Education to expand the NASA Teacher Resource Center at the University of Nebraska at Lincoln .....	\$400,000
For the Classroom of the Future, WV .....	\$2,800,000
For the Oregon system of higher education for computer network infrastructure for advanced technology research and education projects .....	\$4,500,000
For distance learning activities at the Marine Science Center at Oregon State University .....	\$500,000

<b>Program, project, or activity:</b>	<b>Amount of rescission:</b>
For the University of Utah Intermountain Network and Scientific Computation Center .....	\$10,000,000
For expansion of the capacity for informal science education activities at the Cosmosphere at Hutchinson, Kansas .....	\$4,000,000

1 **SEC. 816. NASA—CONSTRUCTION OF FACILITIES.**

2 (a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that—

3 (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c) are set  
4 aside for construction of facilities; and

5 (2) the amounts were—

6 (A) not authorized;

7 (B) not awarded on a competitive basis;

8 and

9 (C) not the subject of congressional com-  
10 mittee or subcommittee authorization hearings.

11 (b) RESCISSION.—There are rescinded—

12 (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c), which  
13 were set aside or otherwise made available out of ap-  
14 propriations made by the Departments of Veterans  
15 Affairs and Housing and Urban Development, and  
16 Independent Agencies Appropriations Act, 1993  
17 (Pub. L. 102–389; 106 Stat. 1609); and

18 (2) the underlying appropriations for the  
19 amounts.

20 (c) AMOUNTS.—Except to the extent obligated, the  
21 amounts rescinded by subsection (b) are the following



1 (Conference Report to accompany H.R. 5679, House Re-  
 2 port 102–902, page 70):

Program, project, or activity:	Amount of rescission:
For a Consortium for International Earth Science International Earth Science Information Network (CIESIN) facility .....	\$42,000,000
For constructing, equipping, and furnishing the Delta College science learning center and planetarium .....	\$8,000,000

### 3 **Subtitle C—Department of Housing** 4 **and Urban Development**

#### 5 **SEC. 821. SPECIAL PURPOSE GRANTS.**

6 (a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that—

7 (1) the grants listed in subsection (c) are “Spe-  
 8 cial Purpose Grants” funded under Annual Con-  
 9 tributions for Assisted Housing;

10 (2) until the enactment of the Department of  
 11 Housing and Urban Development Reform Act of  
 12 1989 (12 U.S.C. 1701q–1), Special Purpose Grants  
 13 were funded by the Secretary of Housing and Urban  
 14 Development’s discretionary fund under section 107  
 15 of the Housing and Community Development Act of  
 16 1974 (42 U.S.C. 5307);

17 (3) the Department of Housing and Urban De-  
 18 velopment Reform Act of 1989 (12 U.S.C. 1701q–  
 19 1) eliminated the use of the Secretary’s discretionary  
 20 fund for Special Purpose Grants;

21 (4) Congress continues to fund Special Purpose  
 22 Grants through Annual Contributions to Assisted

1       Housing, in violation of the spirit of the Department  
2       of Housing and Urban Development Reform Act of  
3       1989 (12 U.S.C. 1701q-1); and

4               (5) the grants listed in subsection (c) were—

5                       (A) not authorized;

6                       (B) not the subject of congressional com-  
7       mittee or subcommittee authorization hearings;

8                       (C) not awarded on a competitive basis;  
9       and

10                      (D) first added by the Committee of Con-  
11       ference, in the case of the item listed in sub-  
12       section (c) relating to economic development in  
13       the county of Aroostook, Maine, and the city of  
14       Presque Isle, Maine, and all subsequent items.

15       (b) RESCISSION.—There are rescinded—

16                      (1) the amounts listed in subsection (c), which  
17       were set aside or otherwise made available out of ap-  
18       propriations made by the Departments of Veterans  
19       Affairs and Housing and Urban Development, and  
20       Independent Agencies Appropriations Act, 1993  
21       (Pub. L. 102-389; 106 Stat. 1571); and

22                      (2) the underlying appropriations for the  
23       amounts.

24       (c) AMOUNTS.—Except to the extent obligated, the  
25       amounts rescinded by subsection (b) are the following

1 (Conference Report to accompany H.R. 5679, House Re-  
 2 port 102–902):

<b>Program, project, or activity:</b>	<b>Amount of rescission:</b>
Tacoma, WA job opportunity and neighborhood revitalization project .....	\$1,500,000
Seattle community action capitol project for social services and working capital to assist four areas in Seattle, WA .....	\$1,350,000
North East Community Center Association in Spokane, WA .....	\$150,000
City of Santa Fe, NM for infrastructure development connected with the Tierra Contenta Affordable Housing program .....	\$1,500,000
Facility for the Roadrunner Food Bank in Albuquerque, NM .....	\$1,000,000
Wayne Miner homeownership project in Kansas City, MO .....	\$1,700,000
Multi-purpose social services center for at-risk children, youth and adults in Springfield, MO .....	\$300,000
Water tower for the Joplin Industrial Park in Joplin, MO .....	\$250,000
Expansion of the Swope Parkway Health Center in Kansas City, MO .....	\$3,000,000
Day care center for low-income residents at the Wherry complex in Pine Lawn, MO .....	\$450,000
To the city of Tulsa, OK for the Kendall-Whittier neighborhood demonstra- tion program .....	\$750,000
To the city of Oklahoma City, OK for infrastructure needs in conjunction with the Oklahoma School of Science and Mathematics .....	\$2,750,000
City of Enid, OK for providing educational opportunities through the Enid Economic Development Authority .....	\$500,000
Continued rehabilitation of the Windsor Park neighborhood in North Las Vegas, Nevada .....	\$1,000,000
Economic development and natural resources infrastructure repair along the Atlantic coast of New Jersey .....	\$1,000,000
Lead-paint demonstration project in the City of Newark, New Jersey .....	\$1,500,000
Arkansas Enterprise Group to create a wood products modernization and market development fund .....	\$250,000
Community-based health insurance program in two rural communities in the Mississippi River delta region of Arkansas .....	\$1,000,000
Manufacturing incubator facility in North Dakota to develop emerging technologies that generate business in rural areas .....	\$1,500,000
Infrastructure improvements in low-income housing in Grand Forks, ND ...	\$1,000,000
Construction of a new public health facility in Merced County, CA .....	\$1,000,000
Infrastructure demolition and repair in Richardson Bay in the Marin County, California area .....	\$2,500,000
Creation of business outreach centers sponsored by the Council of Jewish Organizations in New York .....	\$1,000,000
Acquisition and renovation of two facilities that serve developmentally dis- abled children to be run by the Hebrew Academy for Special Children in New York .....	\$2,000,000
Expansion of non-profit neighborhood social service centers run by the Greater Rochester Foundation in Rochester, NY .....	\$2,000,000
Low-income housing in the Village of Kaiser, NY .....	\$500,000
Erie County Industrial Development Agency for an advanced training cen- ter in Erie County, NY .....	\$500,000
Renovation of the Pediatric Intensive Care Unit at the Children's Hospital of Buffalo, NY .....	\$2,000,000
Chicanos Por La Causa, Inc., an Arizona state non-profit organization, for a new housing initiative to leverage the purchase of RTC properties and to provide technical assistance to other non-profit groups to participate in this program .....	\$300,000
Bethel New Life, Inc. in Chicago, IL for adapting a hospital complex into a facility that provides housing, health care and community social services to a distressed area of Chicago .....	\$250,000
Children's health care project in Hartford, CT .....	\$2,000,000
Old State House project in Hartford, CT .....	\$3,000,000
Infrastructure improvements in the Planeview area of Wichita, Kansas .....	\$1,200,000

<b>Program, project, or activity:</b>	<b>Amount of rescission:</b>
City of Topeka, KS to acquire and renovate an abandoned facility as a law enforcement facility .....	\$1,000,000
Cypress Drug and Alcohol Recovery program in Olathe, KS .....	\$250,000
Wichita Children's Home in Wichita, KS .....	\$500,000
Job training and placement activities for disadvantaged and underrepresented youth at the America-First Printing Center in Atlanta, GA .....	\$250,000
Restoration of the Liberty Theater in Columbus, GA in conjunction with the city's 5th and 6th Avenue Area Redevelopment Plan .....	\$1,000,000
Restoration of the Lucas Theater in Savannah, Georgia's historic district, as part of the city's urban revitalization plan .....	\$1,000,000
King Center in Atlanta, GA for activities to combat poverty, racism and violence, including technical assistance, direct grants and community capacity-building activities .....	\$2,100,000
Land acquisition by the city of Vienna, GA in conjunction with an economic development project that involves a new poultry processing facility .....	\$900,000
River Park Residential Development project in Salt Lake City, UT .....	\$2,200,000
Creation of a West Salt Lake City Affordable Housing Enhancement fund in Salt Lake City, UT .....	\$1,700,000
Utah Technology Finance Corporation .....	\$500,000
Utah Fund for Rural Development .....	\$250,000
Provo City, UT and Utah County, UT women and children shelter for transitional housing for abused spouses and their children .....	\$500,000
Provo City, UT and Utah County, UT Franklin neighborhood preservation and homeownership program .....	\$350,000
Midvale City Housing and Economic Development agency for activities connected with the Sharon Steel superfund site in Midvale, UT .....	\$350,000
Housing rehabilitation and revitalization in Ogden, UT .....	\$800,000
To the City of Cleveland to implement the plan of the Cleveland Foundation's Commission on Poverty to combat persistent poverty .....	\$1,500,000
Infrastructure to connect the Alliance Community Hospital and an on-site nursing home in Alliance, OH .....	\$1,250,000
Individual home acquisition program run by the Iowa Finance Authority ...	\$1,500,000
Neighborhood improvement in the Hilltop section of Davenport, IA .....	\$1,000,000
Dismantling, environmental cleanup and upgrading of the Gra-Iron Foundry in Marshalltown, IA .....	\$200,000
Battered Persons Advocacy project in Roseburg, OR .....	\$100,000
Job training for displaced timber workers and fishermen in Oregon .....	\$2,500,000
Approximately 89 units of single-room occupancy housing to help with displacement of individuals resulting from the construction of a federal courthouse in Portland, OR .....	\$2,200,000
Water, sewer, housing rehabilitation and community improvements in the Arthurtown, Taylors, and Little Camden Communities in Richland County, SC .....	\$3,000,000
Day care and head start facilities in conjunction with an affordable housing project in Beaufort County, SC .....	\$500,000
Improvement of existing social service and public health facilities in Fairfield County, SC .....	\$1,000,000
Continued infrastructure development in the Hawaiian Home Lands .....	\$1,200,000
Continued assistance to two sugarcane mills on Hilo-Hamakua Coast of Hawaii .....	\$1,300,000
New affordable housing initiatives in Hawaii, including a women's shelter in the Maui County, additional housing initiatives in Kauai County, and site acquisition and development for use as assisted housing development run by non-profit groups in the City and County of Honolulu .....	\$2,900,000
Small business loan project run by the City of New Orleans, LA .....	\$250,000
Housing and comprehensive services for homeless women and their families at the "My House" project in New Orleans, LA .....	\$350,000
Continuation of activities in the City of New Orleans, LA to provide job training, education, and functional skills to at risk residents of public housing, in conjunction with Loyola University .....	\$5,000,000
Project Hope in Milwaukee, WI for low-income homeownership counseling and drug education activities .....	\$750,000
Wisconsin small business development center .....	\$1,000,000

<b>Program, project, or activity:</b>	<b>Amount of rescission:</b>
University/neighborhood crime intervention demonstration program at Milwaukee, WI .....	\$650,000
Regional demonstration center for advanced care for the elderly at the New England Deaconess Hospital in Boston, MA .....	\$5,000,000
Expansion of the Gateway project at the Omaha Nebraska Housing Authority in Omaha, NE .....	\$600,000
Mercade Economic Development Project in South Omaha, NE .....	\$200,000
Renovation and equipment for the expansion of the rural health education network in Kearney, NE .....	\$900,000
Expansion of the Panhandle Community Health Center in Scottsbluff, NE .....	\$900,000
Cathedral Health Care System's Women's and Children's Service Network for construction, renovation, site work and medical equipment in Newark, NJ .....	\$4,500,000
New Jersey Institute of Technology to continue the test of affordable housing technologies .....	\$300,000
Development of an outdoor learning facility for disabled children in Willingsboro, NJ .....	\$150,000
Renovation of three senior centers in Atlantic County, NJ .....	\$300,000
Comprehensive economic development activities in Penns Grove, NJ .....	\$400,000
Hammonton Revitalization Corporation of Hammonton, NJ to revitalize Hammonton's central business district .....	\$400,000
Site clearance and design work on the Newark/University Heights Science Park in Newark, NJ .....	\$1,500,000
Economic revitalization and infrastructure repair in the following Vermont communities: Montpelier, \$1,250,000; Randolph, \$1,000,000; and Hardwick, \$750,000 .....	\$3,000,000
Vermont Housing and Conservation Board to assist in constructing or rehabilitating up to seven affordable housing projects located in up to six Vermont communities .....	\$2,000,000
Five following existing economic development revolving loan funds in Vermont, for loan activity for start up, expansion and improvement of small business development, to be divided evenly among the five funds in \$300,000 increments: the Vermont Job Start Program; the Transition Fund of the Economic Development Council of Northern Vermont; the Small Entrepreneurial Loan Fund of the Northern Community Investment Corporation; the Town of Hartford Business Revolving Loan Fund; and the Town of Bennington Revolving Loan Fund .....	\$1,500,000
Renovation of the Eddystone Center as a center for homeless veterans in Detroit, MI .....	\$1,800,000
Infrastructure improvements for the City of Albion, MI .....	\$900,000
Community stabilization and revitalization project in Flint, MI .....	\$800,000
Health care and social service facility for the citizens of central Mississippi and the Mississippi Delta region .....	\$6,000,000
To the Kentucky Highlands Investment Corporation for economic development activities in McCreary County, KY .....	\$200,000
To the Mountain Association for Community Economic Development for the development of flexible manufacturing networks in eastern Kentucky .....	\$250,000
Development of a technology-based business information center in Manchester, NH .....	\$1,000,000
Program planning and development of a model community-based rural health network headquartered at the Carolinas Medical Center in Charlotte, NC .....	\$3,000,000
Expansion of Uplift, Inc. and for the development of statewide activities and services for at-risk children and families in North Carolina .....	\$300,000
Activities of the Eastern North Carolina Poverty Committee to expand affordable housing opportunities for rural residents of Eastern North Carolina .....	\$200,000
Construction of a regional fire training center and related equipment in Clinton, TN .....	\$1,000,000
Renovation and development of the Lehigh Park community service center in Philadelphia, PA .....	\$1,000,000

<b>Program, project, or activity:</b>	<b>Amount of rescission:</b>
To provide grants and technical assistance to community development corporations through the Philadelphia Development Partnerships to develop affordable housing in Philadelphia, PA .....	\$500,000
To Genesis II, Inc., in Philadelphia, PA for renovation of a residential drug treatment facility .....	\$750,000
Community facilities in Nanty Glo, PA .....	\$75,000
Community facilities in Garrett, PA .....	\$50,000
Construction of a child development center in Buckhannon, WV .....	\$3,200,000
Continued renovation of an abandoned building to convert into an economic development and training facility in Elkins, WV .....	\$700,000
An addition to a local fire and emergency rescue facility in McDowell County, WV .....	\$100,000
Development of an integrated community center and health clinic through the use of an abandoned facility in Shepherdstown, WV .....	\$500,000
Construction of an ambulatory care center and health science library in Hinington, WV .....	\$4,500,000
Construction of a science and technology facility to provide training, advanced technology, and electronic computational capability in Shepherdstown, WV .....	\$4,000,000
To complete construction of the Mid-Atlantic Aviation and Training Center near Clarksburg, WV .....	\$1,800,000
Construction of a facility for the Mountaineer Food Bank in Gassaway, WV .....	\$950,000
Thunder Child Residential Substance Abuse Treatment Center near Sheridan, WY .....	\$1,000,000
To the Community Enterprise Development Corporation of Alaska to promote economic development in Alaska Native villages through the development and marketing of native prototype products and their production components .....	\$350,000
Downtown economic development activities in Sioux Falls, SD .....	\$100,000
Economic development project, including environmental cleanup, pollution abatement, and job retention and creation activities, in the county of Aroostook, ME and the city of Presque Isle, ME .....	\$2,275,000
To continue the Neighborhood Development Demonstration .....	\$2,000,000
To Lowell, MA, to demolish and/or rehabilitate abandoned properties to combat arson, gangs, and drugs .....	\$1,000,000
To Lawrence, MA, to demolish and/or rehabilitate abandoned properties to combat arson, gangs, and drugs; and for computer hardware for City hall to assess arson damage .....	\$1,200,000
To Methuen, MA, for public safety equipment and to purchase and rehabilitate vacant property for use as a human services center .....	\$650,000
To relocate, renovate and expand the Middlesex Shelter homeless facility in Lowell, Massachusetts .....	\$650,000
To Oregon City, Oregon, for an economic development project, including site acquisition, wetlands restoration, and final design and planning for a western frontier-Oregon Territory historical center .....	\$3,000,000
Restoration and renovation of the Lamar and Pickens County, Alabama, courthouses .....	\$1,500,000
Freedom Ranch in Blount County, Alabama, to provide housing for homeless and abused children .....	\$500,000
To Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, to establish the Institute for the Advancement of Mathematics and Science Teaching .....	\$2,500,000
To the Philadelphia (PA) Regional Port Authority for revitalization of the Tioga Marine Terminal .....	\$1,000,000
Southwest Development Financing, Inc. to capitalize a revolving loan fund to encourage economic development and tourism in Southwest Virginia ..	\$1,000,000
To the Ark-Tex Council of Governments, located in Bowie County, TX, for infrastructure, community or economic development activities .....	\$1,500,000
To the East Texas Council of Governments, located in Kilgore, TX, for infrastructure, community or economic development activities in Wood, Camp, Gregg, Harrison, Marion, Rusk, Upshur, or Panola counties .....	\$500,000
Child development center and social service facility in Longview, TX .....	\$400,000
Expansion, equipment and infrastructure associated with the Rusk County Exposition Center in Rusk County, TX .....	\$250,000

<b>Program, project, or activity:</b>	<b>Amount of rescission:</b>
To the Upshur County Civic Improvement Foundation, located in Upshur County, TX, for community development activities .....	\$850,000
Renovation of an industrial building for Focus: HOPE Center for Advanced Technologies in Detroit, MI .....	\$2,900,000
Central Norristown redevelopment project in PA .....	\$400,000
To the City of Mackinac Island, MI, to restore historic buildings and for other municipal purposes .....	\$1,900,000
Establishment of a Wellness Institute by Marquette General Hospital, in Marquette, MI .....	\$480,000
To Enterprise Development, Inc. of Columbia, SC, for a video conferencing and training facility .....	\$1,500,000
To the Bay County Building Authority in Bay County, MI, for a conference center and for other municipal purposes .....	\$5,900,000
Housing rehabilitation and for creating employment opportunities in the Eastside Neighborhood in Tacoma, WA .....	\$500,000
To Los Angeles, CA, for the East Los Angeles Community Union for commercial redevelopment activities .....	\$1,750,000
To Los Angeles, CA, for a loan fund to be administered by a nonprofit community organization in support of small business revitalization that will create a beneficial impact on employment, income, savings, and the development of a stronger community economic base in South Central Los Angeles .....	\$1,750,000
To the City of San Jose, CA, for the Mexican Heritage Plaza and Gardens project .....	\$1,000,000
To develop a business incubator program in the Rio Linda/North Highlands area of California, to provide job training and start-up business assistance to low-income residents in those areas .....	\$500,000
Dixon, CA, sewer plant expansion to bring the sewage treatment facility into compliance, which will help reduce housing costs for low and moderate income families .....	\$500,000
To West Sacramento, CA, for the Margaret McDowell Senior Center project .....	\$500,000
Washington State University Affordable Housing program .....	\$200,000
Handicapped access project at the Spokane Marketplace in Spokane, WA. .	\$200,000
To Agri-Tech International, of Pullman, WA, for rural economic development activities .....	\$150,000
Handicapped access projects in three rural areas: \$84,000 at Preston Hall, Waitsburg, WA; \$7,000 for City Hall, Starbuck, WA; and \$30,000 for the North County (Cutter) Theater, Metaline Falls, WA .....	\$121,000
Walla Walla Small Business Center program in Walla Walla County, WA ..	\$77,000
Spokane (WA) Food Bank to purchase a warehouse. ....	\$500,000
Migrant farmworkers' housing in Hillsborough County, FL .....	\$2,200,000
To Murfreesboro, TN, to renovate the Bradley Academy for a multi-purpose community/cultural/heritage and civic center .....	\$480,000
Community development in Astoria, NY .....	\$1,500,000
People's Firehouse, Inc. for community development activities in Brooklyn, NY .....	\$1,000,000
Hispanic Information and Telecommunications Corporation in New York, NY .....	\$500,000
Storm water drainage improvements in Smallwood Village in Charles County, MD .....	\$225,000
Opportunity Park, in Bowie, MD .....	\$200,000
Rehabilitation of facilities in the Nanjemoy community in Charles County, MD .....	\$500,000
Restoration of a well under the jurisdiction of the Potomac Heights Home Owners Association in western Charles County, MD .....	\$125,000
To Tulsa, OK, for the Kendall-Whittier neighborhood revitalization and stabilization demonstration project .....	\$1,000,000
To Nanticoke, PA, for infrastructure and economic development activities. .	\$1,800,000
To the City of Toledo, OH, to create a strong community anchor in the heart of the downtown, concentrating on restoring the Toledo Farmers' Market and helping rehabilitate the nearby abandoned Portside Marketplace .....	\$2,000,000

<b>Program, project, or activity:</b>	<b>Amount of rescission:</b>
To the City of Toledo, OH, to work in conjunction with the Toledo Area Transit Authority and other agencies to renovate Central Union Terminal through abandoned building demolition and site improvements .....	\$1,000,000
To the City of Oregon, OH, for completion of a sanitary sewer system serving the city and the adjacent unincorporated village of Harbor View. ....	\$500,000
Relocation of the Camillus House shelter and medical clinic in Miami, FL. ....	\$2,000,000
Emergency repair and restoration of the water supply system for the City of Landers, CA .....	\$3,800,000
Permanent restoration of public buildings and equipment in the Big Bear area of San Bernardino County, CA .....	\$2,750,000
Project Access programs of the Green Hills Rural Development Corporation in Trenton, Missouri, and the Northwest Missouri Regional Development Corporation in Maryville, Missouri .....	\$800,000
To continue the economic development activities of the Redwood Region Economic Development Commission in Humboldt County, CA .....	\$850,000
South Chandler housing and community redevelopment in Chandler, Arizona .....	\$1,000,000
Construction and public improvements near Crestline in San Bernardino County, CA .....	\$375,000
Downtown renovation and the revitalization of central city recreation infrastructure serving low- and moderate-income citizens in Lewiston, Maine. ....	\$1,150,000
Infrastructure improvements related to the construction of low- and moderate-income housing in Ames, Iowa .....	\$950,000
Casa Pacifica shelter care facility in Ventura County, CA .....	\$960,000
An economic development project based at the Indian Hills Community College in Ottuma, Iowa .....	\$400,000
To construct a new facility for the Boys and Girls Club of San Marcos, CA .....	\$500,000
Facility costs for the Boys and Girls Club of Escondido, CA .....	\$375,000
Equipment for Children's Hospital and Health Center of San Diego, CA ....	\$1,375,000
To the Mesa Grande Indian Tribe of San Diego County, CA, for a manufacturing plant for factory-built wood frame structures .....	\$1,250,000
Drug elimination demonstration program at a state-owned public housing complex known as Levister Towers in Mount Vernon, NY .....	\$1,000,000
Construction of a senior center in the Town of Smithfield, RI .....	\$600,000
To Newburyport, MA, for renovation/addition to police facilities .....	\$1,300,000
Monroe County, PA, Housing Authority to rehabilitate a low-income elderly and disabled housing project .....	\$700,000
Lackawanna Junior College, Scranton, PA, to establish the National Career Prep and Distance Training Center for low-income and public housing residents .....	\$1,800,000
Feasibility study on infrastructure improvements needed for the economic development of the Peoria, Illinois, area .....	\$1,500,000
Capital repairs to the facilities operated by Federated Dorchester Neighborhood Houses, Inc. in Dorchester, MA .....	\$2,000,000
To the West Virginia High-Technology Consortium for a high-technology incubator facility in Marion County, WV, to promote emerging technologies in rural areas .....	\$1,000,000
To the City of Wheeling, WV, for educational and recreational opportunities for at-risk youth and the acquisition and renovation of dilapidated housing .....	\$600,000
To the City of Morgantown, WV, for downtown renewal and revitalization activities .....	\$1,000,000
To Arthurdale Heritage, Inc., for needed revitalization and infrastructure improvements to the Community of Arthurdale, WV .....	\$500,000
City of Mannington, WV, to rehabilitate arson damaged areas and for other revitalization projects within the Mannington district .....	\$400,000
Victory Housing, Inc., to build Bartholomew House, a facility for the frail elderly, in Montgomery County, MD .....	\$1,500,000
Facility improvements at the Laurel Mountain Recreation Area in Ligonier, PA .....	\$1,300,000
Renovation of Point Stadium in Johnstown, PA .....	\$1,360,000
To renovate Perry School in Washington, DC, into a multi-purpose service center designed to meet the poverty-related needs of the community .....	\$1,000,000



<b>Program, project, or activity:</b>	<b>Amount of rescission:</b>
Temporary shelter for families while their homes are undergoing lead-based paint abatement in Newark, NJ .....	\$1,500,000
To establish a center for Pacific Rim Studies at the University of San Francisco, CA .....	\$1,500,000
North Carolina Housing Trust Fund .....	\$1,000,000
To New York City, NY, for New York City Medical College to improve health care delivery in the city .....	\$2,000,000
Clayton Housing Authority's (New Mexico) comprehensive improvement project .....	\$500,000
To the City of Elkhart, Indiana, to establish an Elkhart Entrepreneur's Revolving Loan Fund .....	\$900,000
To the City of Chicago's (IL) Low-Income Housing Trust Fund .....	\$1,300,000
Expansion of the Salesian Boys and Girls Club in East Los Angeles, CA ...	\$500,000
To Cibola County, NM, for a multi-agency visitor center to provide economic benefits for the western part of New Mexico .....	\$1,500,000
To the Bay Area Foundation in Bay City, MI, for municipal infrastructure, economic development, and other municipal purposes .....	\$2,747,000
Community Development Resource Center in western Monroe County, NY, at Roberts Wesleyan College .....	\$2,000,000
Renovation of facilities in the Adirondack Destruction Assessment Program in NY .....	\$1,500,000
To develop a training program in East Cleveland (OH) for single mothers to enable them to be economically self sufficient .....	\$2,000,000
Hitchcock Center in Cleveland, OH, for assistance to women who are substance abusers .....	\$1,000,000
To Michigan State University for continuation of an urban extension program .....	\$300,000
Bellefaire Residential Treatment Center for Children in Cleveland, OH, for renovation activities and to enhance special service needs .....	\$2,000,000
Katheryn Tyler Multi-Purpose Family Center in Cleveland, OH .....	\$1,000,000
Urban redevelopment and small business development project in Kalamazoo, MI .....	\$650,000
Redevelopment of the Broadway Corridor project in Gary, IN .....	\$1,500,000
To the City of Chicago (IL) for non-profit counseling organizations for a prepurchase and foreclosure-prevention counseling demonstration program .....	\$900,000
New Directions project for homeless veterans in Los Angeles, CA .....	\$1,500,000
City of Chicago's (IL) Preservation Predevelopment Program .....	\$1,300,000

## 1       **TITLE IX—BLUE SMOKE AND** 2                                   **MIRRORS**

### 3   **SEC. 901. SENSE OF THE CONGRESS.**

4       (a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that the tactic  
5 of delaying obligations in the appropriations process is an  
6 irresponsible budgeting technique which will only serve to  
7 exacerbate the budget deficit and the national debt.

8       (b) SENSE OF THE CONGRESS.—It is the sense of  
9 the Congress that, with regard to the appropriations proc-

1 ess, “forward funding” tactics should no longer be utilized  
2 and the Congress should operate within the funding limits  
3 prescribed for each fiscal year.

○

HR 1392 IH——2

HR 1392 IH——3

HR 1392 IH——4

HR 1392 IH——5